

University of Alaska Anchorage (“UAA” or the “University”)
Regular Meeting of the Campus Planning Advisory Board (“CPAB” or the “Board”)
 Anchorage, AK – Zoom
 April 8, 2024, at 2:00 pm

Agenda and Summary

A. Call to Order

The meeting of the CPAB was called to order by the majority.

Name	Present	Excused
Co-Chair, Ryan Buchholdt , Vice Chancellor for Administrative Services (VCAS)	X	
Jon Bittner , Director, Alaska Small Business Development Center, Centers & Institutes	X	
Ginger Blackmon , Associate Professor of Educational Leadership, School of Education	X	
Sharon Chamard , Professor, Justice Center, Collage of Health	X	
Carrie Couey , Director of Administrative Services at KPC		X
Ian Hartman , Associate Professor & Chair, Department of History, Collage of Arts and Science		X
Gokhan Karahan , Chair & Professor of Accounting & Finance, College of Business & Public Policy	X	
Recorder, Rhiannon Calkins , EA to the VCAS		X

Name	Present	Excused
Co-Chair, Denise Runge , Provost	X	
Jodee Kuden , Head, Collection Development/Professor, Consortium Library		X
John Moore , Research Laboratory Manager, Collage of Arts & Sciences, Research	X	
Anthony Paris , Professor, Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering		X
Pete Praetorius , Associate Professor, Communications		X
Tania Rowe , Fiscal Professional, Shared Services		X
Kelly Smith , Associate Professor, Department of Automotive & Diesel Technology, Community & Technical Collage		X

Advisors and Guests

Name	Present	Excused
Daniel Campbell , Director, Institutional Research	X	
Susan Kalina , Vice Provost for Academic Affairs & Institutional Effectiveness	X	
Kimberly (Kim) Mahoney , Assoc. Vice Chancellor of Facilities and Campus Services	X	

Name	Present	Excused
Christopher McConnell , Director, Facilities Planning & Construction	X	
Amanda Yauney , Director of the Budget Office	X	

B. Institutional Effectiveness & Resources Subcommittee Update

Speaker: Denise

Document(s): Fall 2023 Graduate Exit Survey Data Report Final 3-28-24 REV (3).pdf

- Looking at a 7-year review cycle, similar to academic programs

- Looking at potential models we can follow
- Graduate Exit Survey could be an example of the types of data we can use
- The point is to support continuous improvement and report to our accreditors how we are doing that for non-academic areas of the institution
- Where areas already have NWCCU standards to respond to, then we don't have to do a separate evaluation
 - E.g., advising and facilities
 - Some grant-funded support areas have robust reporting/review requirements with the funding agency, so no need to have them complete a new review
 - Might be good to look through all the areas where there is a standard to report on, an existing review effort, or federal evaluations, to determine what areas need an evaluation vehicle created by CPAB
- How does location factor in? Overall framework with local flexibility?
 - Discussed at length in the subgroup
 - When evaluating financial aid, it would need to be an integrated evaluation, but some functions are organized differently between the Anchorage Campus and Community Campuses that would need to be pulled apart
- If evaluating processes, what are the common measures? There might be a set of goals/measures for the institution that are stated upfront and addressed.

C. Administrative Management Review Follow-Up

Speaker: Denise

Document(s): Response to Admin Mgmt Review.docx
 Administrative Management Review Worksheet.xlsx

D. Adjourned

With no other matters to be brought before the Board, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,

Rhiannon J. Calkins, CAP
Executive Assistant and Recording Secretary