Safety Threats Guide

Impending Danger

Office of Children's Services, Executive Steering Committee

Adapted with permission from material created by ACTION for Child Protection

September 2010

The safety threats and examples identified within this handout are consistent with the Alaska safety model. While the safety threats contained within the Alaska model enable a worker to identify either present or impending danger, the safety threats in this guidebook are written in such a way so as to apply to impending danger. Regarding any family condition being considered as a safety threat, remember that the safety threshold criteria must always apply.

Safety Threshold Criteria

- A family condition is out of control.
- A family condition is likely to result in a severe effect.
- The severe effect is imminent: it reasonably could happen very soon.
- The family condition is observable and can be clearly described and articulated.
- There is a vulnerable child (see page 12 for more information).

Safety Threats

1. No adult in the home is performing parenting duties and responsibilities that assure child safety.

This refers only to adults (not children) in a caregiving role. Duties and responsibilities related to the provision of food, clothing, shelter, and supervision are to be considered at such a basic level that the absence of these basic provisions directly affect the safety of a child. This includes situations in which parents'/caregivers' whereabouts are unknown. The parent's/caregiver's whereabouts are unknown while the CPS initial assessment is being completed and this is affecting child safety.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

The caregiver who normally is responsible for protecting the child is absent; likely to be absent; or is incapacitated in some way or becomes incapacitated. Nothing within the family can compensate for the condition of the caregiver which meets the out-of-control criterion. An unexplained absence of parents/caregivers is obviously a situation that is out-of-control. Without explanation, the children have been abandoned and are totally subject to the whims of life and others. They are totally without caregiver protection. Nothing can control the absence of the caregivers.

Duties and responsibilities are at a critical level that if not addressed represent a specific danger or threat is posed to a vulnerable child. The lack of meeting these basic duties and responsibilities could result in a child being seriously injured, kidnapped, seriously ill, even dying. Regarding absent parents/caregivers and in the absence of a family network that imposes itself, vulnerable children left without caregivers will suffer serious effects.

That the severe effects could occur in the now or in the near future is based on understanding what circumstances are associated with the caregiver's absence or incapacity, the home condition, and the lack of other adult supervisory supports. The absence of caregivers meets the imminence criteria. The threat is immediate. This threat includes both behaviors and emotions as illustrated in the following examples.

- Parent's/caregiver's physical or mental disability/incapacitation renders the person unable to provide basic care for the children.
- Parent/caregiver is or has been absent from the home for lengthy periods of time, and no other adults are available to provide basic care.
- Parents/caregivers have abandoned the children.
- Parents arranged care by an adult, but the parents'/primary caregivers' whereabouts are unknown or they have not returned according to plan, and the current caregiver is asking for relief.
- A substance abuse problem renders the parents/primary caregivers incapable of routinely/consistently attending to the children's basic needs.
- Parent/caregiver is or will be incarcerated, thereby leaving the children without a responsible adult to provide care.
- Parent/caregiver does not respond to or ignores a child's basic needs.
- Parent/caregiver allows child to wander in and out of the home or through the neighborhood without the necessary supervision.
- Parent/caregiver allows other adults to improperly influence (drugs, alcohol, abusive behavior) the child and the parent/caregiver is present or approves.
- Child has been abandoned or left with someone who does not know the parent/caregiver.
- Parent/caregiver has left the child with someone and not returned as planned.
- Parent/caregiver did not express plans to return or the parent/caregiver has been gone longer than expected or what would be normally acceptable.
- No one knows the parent's/caregiver's identity.
- Parents'/caregivers' unexplained absence exceeds a few days.
- Parent/caregiver cannot or will not explain the injuries to a child.
- Parent/caregiver explanation of injuries to a child contradicts the facts observed by child welfare staff and/or other professionals.

2. One or both caregivers are violent and/or acting dangerously.

Violence refers to aggression, fighting, brutality, cruelty and hostility. It may be immediately observable, regularly active or generally potentially active.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

To be out-of-control, the violence must be active. It moves beyond being angry or upset particularly related to a specific event. The violence is representative of the person's state-of-mind and is likely pervasive in terms of the way they feel and act. There is nothing within the family or household that can counteract the violence.

The active aspect of this sort of behavior and emotion could easily lash out toward family members and children, specifically, who may be targets or bystanders. Vulnerable children who

cannot self-protect--who cannot get out of the way and who have no one to protect them--could experience severe physical or emotional effects from the violence. The severe effects could include serious physical injury, terror, or death.

The judgment about imminence is based on sufficient understanding of the dynamics and patterns of violent emotions and behavior. To the extent the violence is a pervasive aspect of a person's character or a family dynamic; occurs either predictably or unpredictably; and has a standing history, it is conclusive that the violence and likely severe effects could or will occur for sure and soon.

This threat includes both behaviors and emotions as illustrated in the following examples.

- Violence includes hitting, beating, physically assaulting a child, spouse or other family member.
- Violence includes acting dangerously toward a child or others including throwing things, bantering weapons, driving recklessly, aggressively intimidating and terrorizing.
- Family violence involves physical and verbal assault on a parent in the presence of a child, the child witnesses the activity and is fearful for self and/or others.
- Family violence is occurring and a child is assaulted.
- Family violence is occurring and a child may be attempting to intervene.
- Family violence is occurring and a child could be inadvertently harmed even though the child may not be the actual target of the violence.
- Parent/caregiver who is impulsive, exhibiting physical aggression, having temper outbursts or unanticipated and harmful physical reactions (e.g., throwing things).
- Parent/caregiver whose behavior outside of the home (e.g., drugs, violence, aggressiveness, hostility) creates an environment within the home which threatens child safety (e.g., drug parties, gangs, drive-by shootings).

3. One or both caregivers are not/will not/cannot control their behavior.

This threat is concerned with self-control. It is concerned with a person's ability to postpone, to set aside needs; to plan; to be dependable; to avoid destructive behavior; to use good judgment; to not act on impulses; to exert energy and action; to inhibit; to manage emotions; and so on. This is concerned with self-control as it relates to child safety and protecting children. So, it is the lack of caregiver self-control that places vulnerable children in jeopardy.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

This threat is self-evident as related to meeting the out-of-control criterion. Beyond what is mentioned in the definition, this includes caregivers who cannot control their emotions resulting in sudden explosive temper outbursts; spontaneous uncontrolled reactions; loss of control during high stress or at specific times like while punishing a child. Typically, application of the out-of-control criterion may lead to observations of behavior but, clearly, much of self-control issues rest in emotional areas. Emotionally disturbed caregivers may be out of touch with reality or so depressed that they represent a danger to their child or are unable to perform protective duties. Finally, those

who use substances may have become sufficiently dependent that they have lost their ability for self-control in areas concerned with protection.

Severity should be considered from two perspectives. The lack of self-control is significant. That means that it has moved well beyond the person's capacity to manage it regardless of selfawareness and the lack of control is concerned with serious matters as compared, say to lacking the self-control to exercise. The effects of the threat could result in severe effects as caregivers lash out at children; fail to supervise children; leave children alone; or leave children in the care of irresponsible others.

A presently evident and standing problem of poor impulse control or lack of self-control establishes the basis for imminence. Since the lack of self-control is severe, the examples of it should be rather clear and add to the certainty one can have about severe effects probably occurring in the near future.

This includes behaviors other than aggression or emotion that affect child safety as illustrated in the following examples.

- Parent/caregiver is observed to be acting bizarrely.
- Parent/caregiver is observed to be unable to perform basic care, duties, fulfill essential protective duties.
- Parent/caregiver is observed to be under the influence of some substance.
- Parent/caregiver is seriously depressed and unable to control emotions or behaviors.
- Parent/caregiver is chemically dependent and unable to control the dependency's effects.
- Parent/caregiver makes impulsive decisions and plans which leave the children in precarious situations (e.g., unsupervised, supervised by an unreliable caregiver).
- Parent/caregiver spends money impulsively resulting in a lack of basic necessities.
- Parent/caregiver is emotionally immobilized (chronically or situationally) and cannot control behavior.
- Parent/caregiver has addictive patterns or behaviors (e.g., addiction to substances, gambling or computers) that are uncontrolled and leave the children in unsafe situations (e.g., failure to supervise or provide other basic care).
- Parent/caregiver is delusional and/or experiencing hallucinations.
- Parent/caregiver cannot control sexual impulses.
- Parent/caregiver is seriously depressed and functionally unable to meet the children's basic needs.

4. A child is perceived in extremely negative terms by one or both parents/caregivers.

"Extremely" is meant to suggest a perception which is so negative that, when present, it creates child safety concerns. In order for this threat to be checked, these types of perceptions must be present and the perceptions must be inaccurate.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

This refers to exaggerated perceptions. It is out-of-control because their point of view of the child is so extreme and out of touch with reality that it compels the caregiver to react to or avoid the child. The perception of the child is totally unreasonable. No one in or outside the family has much influence on altering the caregiver's perception or explaining it away to the caregiver. It is out-of-control.

The extreme negative perception fuels the caregiver's emotions and could escalate the level of response toward the child. The extreme perception may provide justification to the caregiver for acting out or ignoring the child. Severe effects could occur with a vulnerable child such as serious physical injury, extreme neglect related to medical and basic care, failure to thrive, etc.

The extreme perception is in place not in the process of development. It is pervasive concerning all aspects of the child's existence. It is constant and immediate in the sense of the very presence of the child in the household or in the presence of the caregiver. Anything occurring in association with the standing perception could trigger the caregiver to react aggressively or totally withdraw at any time and, certainly, it can be expected within the near future.

This threat is illustrated by the following examples.

- Child is perceived to be the devil, demon-possessed, evil, a bastard or deformed, ugly, deficient, or embarrassing.
- Child has taken on the same identity as someone the parent/caregiver hates and is fearful of or hostile towards, and the parent/caregiver transfers feelings and perceptions of the person to the child.
- Child is considered to be punishing or torturing the parent/caregiver.
- One parent/caregiver is jealous of the child and believes the child is a detriment or threat to the parents'/primary caregivers' relationship and stands in the way of their best interests.
- Parent/caregiver sees child as an undesirable extension of self and views child with some sense of purging or punishing.
- Parent/caregiver sees the child as responsible and accountable for the parent/caregiver's problems; blames the child; perceives, behaves, acts out toward the child based on a lack of reality or appropriateness because of their own needs or issues.

5. The family does not have or use resources necessary to assure a child's safety.

"Basic needs" refers to the family's lack of (1) minimal resources to provide shelter, food, and clothing or (2) the capacity to use resources if they were available.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

There could be two things out-of-control here. There are not sufficient resources to meet the safety needs of the child. There is nothing within the family's reach to address and control the absence of needed protective resources. The second question of control is concerned with the caregiver's lack of control related to either impulses about use of resources or problem solving concerning with use of resources.

The lack of resources must be so acute that their absence could have a severe effect right away. The absence of these basic resources could cause serious injury, serious medical or physical health problems, starvation, or serious malnutrition.

Imminence is judged by context. What context exists today concerning the lack of resources? If extreme weather conditions or sustained absence of food define the context, then the certainty of severe effects occurring soon is evident. This certainty is influenced by the specific characteristics of a vulnerable child (e.g. infant, ill, fragile, etc.).

This threat is illustrated in the following examples.

- Family has no money.
- Family has no food, clothing, or shelter.
- Family finances are insufficient to support needs (e.g. medical care) that, if unmet, could result in a threat to child safety.
- Parents/caregivers lack life management skills to properly use resources when they are available.
- Family is routinely using their resources for things (e.g., drugs) other than their basic care and support thereby leaving them without their basic needs being adequately met.
- Child's basic needs exceed normal expectations because of unusual conditions (e.g., disabled child) and the family is unable to adequately address the needs.

6. One or both caregivers are threatening to severely harm a child or are fearful they will maltreat the child and/or request placement.

This refers to caregivers who are directing threats to hurt a child. Their emotions and intentions are hostile, menacing and sufficiently believable to conclude grave concern for a child's safety. This also refers to caregivers who express anxiety and dread about their ability to control their emotions and reactions toward their child. This expression represents a "call for help."

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

Out-of-control is consistent with conditions within the home having progressed to a critical point. The level of aggravation, intolerance or dread as experienced by the caregiver is serious and high. This is no passing thing the caregiver is feeling. The caregiver is or feels out-of-control. The

caregiver is either afraid of what he or she might do or beyond self limits and forbearance. A request for placement is extreme evidence with respect to a caregiver's conclusion that the child can only be safe if he or she is away from the caregiver.

Presumably, the caregiver who is threatening to hurt a child or is admitting to an extreme concern for mistreating a child recognizes that his or her reaction could be very serious and could result in severe effects on a vulnerable child. The caregiver has concluded that the child is vulnerable to experiencing severe effects.

The caregiver establishes that imminence applies. The threat to severely harm, admission or expressed anxiety is sufficient to conclude that the caregiver might react toward the child at any time and it could be in the near future.

This threat is illustrated in the following examples.

- Parents/caregivers use specific threatening terms including even identifying how they will harm the child or what sort of harm they intend to inflict.
- Parents/caregivers threats are plausible, believable; may be related to specific provocative child behavior.
- Parents/caregivers state they will maltreat.
- Parent/caregiver describes conditions and situations which stimulate them to think about maltreating.
- Parent/caregiver talks about being worried about, fearful of, or preoccupied with maltreating the child.
- Parent/caregiver identifies things that the child does that aggravate or annoy the parent/caregiver in ways that make the parent want to attack the child.
- Parent/caregiver describes disciplinary incidents that have become out-of-control.
- Parents/caregivers are distressed or "at the end of their rope," and are asking for some relief in either specific (e.g., "take the child") or general (e.g., "please help me before something awful happens") terms.
- One parent/caregiver is expressing concerns about what the other parent/caregiver is capable of or may be doing.

7. One or both caregivers intend(ed) to seriously hurt the child.

This refers to caregivers who anticipate acting in a way that will result in pain and suffering. "Intended" suggests that before or during the time the child was mistreated, the parents'/primary caregivers' conscious purpose was to hurt the child. This threat must be distinguished from an incident in which the parent/caregiver meant to discipline or punish the child and the child was inadvertently hurt. "Seriously" refers to an intention to cause the child to suffer. This is more about a child's pain than any expectation to teach a child.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

This safety threat seems to contradict the criterion "out-of-control." People who "plan" to

hurt someone apparently are very much under control. However, it is important to remember that "out-of-control" also includes the question of whether there is anything or anyone in the household or family that can control the safety threat. In order to meet this criterion, a judgment must be made that 1) the acts were intentional; 2) the objective was to cause pain and suffering; and 3) nothing or no one in the household could stop the behavior.

Caregivers who intend to hurt their children can be considered to behave and have attitudes that are extreme or severe. Furthermore, the whole point of this safety threat is pain and suffering which is consistent with the definition of severe effects.

While it is likely that often this safety threat is associated with punishment and that a judgment about imminence could be tied to that context, it seems reasonable to conclude that caregivers who hold such heinous feelings toward a child could act on those at any time – soon.

This threat includes both behaviors and emotions as illustrated in the following examples.

- The incident was planned or had an element of premeditation and there is no remorse.
- The nature of the incident or use of an instrument can be reasonably assumed to heighten the level of pain or injury (e.g., cigarette burns) and there is no remorse.
- Parent's/caregiver's motivation to teach or discipline seems secondary to inflicting pain and/or injury and there is no remorse.
- Parent/caregiver can reasonably be assumed to have had some awareness of what the result would be prior to the incident and there is no remorse.
- Parent's/caregiver's actions were not impulsive, there was sufficient time and deliberation to assure that the actions hurt the child, and there is no remorse.
- Parent/caregiver does not acknowledge any guilt or wrong-doing and there was intent to hurt the child.
- Parent/caregiver intended to hurt the child and shows no empathy for the pain or trauma the child has experienced.
- Parent/caregiver may feel justified; may express that the child deserved it and they intended to hurt the child.

8. One or both lack parenting knowledge, skills, and motivation necessary to assure a child's safety.

This refers to basic parenting that directly affects a child's safety. It includes parents/primary caregivers lacking the basic knowledge or skills which prevent them from meeting the child's basic needs; or the lack of motivation resulting in the parents/primary caregivers abdicating their role to meet basic needs or failing to adequately perform the parental role to meet the child's basic needs. This inability and/or unwillingness to meet basic needs creates child safety concerns.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

When is this family condition out-of-control? Caregivers who do not know and understand how to provide the most basic care such as feeding infants, hygiene care, or immediate supervision.

The lack of knowledge is out-of-control since it must be consistent with capacity problems such as serious ignorance, retardation, social deprivation, and so forth. Skill, on the other hand, must be considered differently than knowledge. People can know things but not be performing or just don't perform. The lack of aptitude must be clear. The basis for ineptness may vary. Caregivers may be hampered by cognitive, social, or emotional influences. Motivation is yet another matter. People may be very capable, have plenty of pertinent knowledge, but simply don't care or can't generate sufficient energy to act. Remember, any of these are out-of-control by virtue of the behavior of the caregiver and the absence of any controls internal to the family.

This threat is illustrated in the following examples.

- Parent's/caregiver's intellectual capacities affect judgment and/or knowledge in ways that prevent the provision of adequate basic care.
- Young or intellectually limited parents/primary caregivers have little or no knowledge of a child's needs and capacity.
- Parent's/caregiver's expectations of the child far exceed the child's capacity thereby placing the child in unsafe situations.
- Parent/caregiver does not know what basic care is or how to provide it (e.g., how to feed or diaper; how to protect or supervise according to the child's age).
- Parents'/caregivers' parenting skills are exceeded by a child's special needs and demands in ways that affect safety.
- Parent's/caregiver's knowledge and skills are adequate for some children's ages and development, but not for others (e.g., able to care for an infant, but cannot control a toddler).
- Parent/caregiver does not want to be a parent and does not perform the role, particularly in terms of basic needs.
- Parent/caregiver is averse to parenting and does not provide basic needs.
- Parent/caregiver avoids parenting and basic care responsibilities.
- Parent/caregiver allows others to parent or provide care to the child without concern for the other person's ability or capacity (whether known or unknown).
- Parent/caregiver does not know or does not apply basic safety measures (e.g., keeping medications, sharp objects, or household cleaners out of reach of small children).
- Parents/caregivers place their own needs above the children's needs thereby affecting the children's safety.
- Parents/caregivers do not believe the children's disclosure of abuse/neglect even when there is a preponderance of evidence and this affects the children's safety.
- 9. A child has exceptional needs that affect his/her safety which the parents/caregivers are not meeting; cannot meet or will not meet.

"Exceptional" refers to specific child conditions (e.g., retardation, blindness, physical disability) which are either organic or naturally induced as opposed to parentally induced. The key here is that the parents, by not addressing the child's exceptional needs, will not or cannot meet

the child's basic needs.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

The caregiver's ability and/or attitude are what is out-of-control. If you can't do something, you have no control over the task. If you do not want to do something and therefore do not do it but you are the principal person who must do the task, then no control exits either. If you are not doing what is required to assure the exceptional needs are being met daily then nothing within the family is assuring control.

This does not refer to caregivers who do not do very well at meeting a child's needs. This refers to specific deficiencies in parenting that must occur and are required for the "exceptional" child to be safe. The status of the child helps to clarify the potential for severe effects. Clearly, "exceptional" includes physical and mental characteristics that result in a child being highly vulnerable and unable to protect or fend for him or herself.

The needs of the child are acute, require immediate and constant attention. The attention and care is specific and can be related to severe results when left unattended. Imminence is obvious. Severe effects could be immediate to soon.

This threat is illustrated in the following examples.

- Child has a physical or mental condition that, if untreated, is a safety threat.
- Parent/caregiver does not recognize the condition.
- Parent/caregiver views the condition as less serious than it is.
- Parent/caregiver refuses to address the condition for religious or other reasons.
- Parent/caregiver lacks the capacity to fully understand the condition or the safety threat.
- Parent's/caregiver's expectations of the child are totally unrealistic in view of the child's condition.
- Parent/caregiver allows the child to live or be placed in situations in which harm is increased by virtue of the child's condition.

10. Living arrangements seriously endanger the child's physical health.

This threat refers to conditions in the home which are immediately life-threatening or seriously endangering a child's physical health (e.g., people discharging firearms without regard to who might be harmed; the lack of hygiene is so dramatic as to cause or potentially cause serious illness). Physical health includes serious injuries that could occur because of the condition of the living arrangement.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

To be out-of-control, this safety threat does not include situations that are not in some state of deterioration. The threat to a child's safety and immediate health is obvious. There is nothing within the family network that can alter the conditions that prevail in the environment.

The living arrangements are at the end of the continuum for deplorable and immediate

danger. Vulnerable children who live in such conditions could become deathly sick, experience extreme injury, or acquire life threatening or severe medical conditions.

Remaining in the environment could result in severe injuries and health repercussions today, this evening, or in the next few days.

This threat is illustrated in the following examples.

- The family home is being used for methamphetamine production; products and materials used in the production of methamphetamine are being stored and are accessible within the home.
- Housing is unsanitary, filthy, infested, a health hazard.
- The house's physical structure is decaying, falling down.
- Wiring and plumbing in the house are substandard, exposed.
- Furnishings or appliances are hazardous.
- Heating, fireplaces, stoves, are hazardous and accessible.
- There are natural or man-made hazards located close to the home.
- The home has easily accessible open windows or balconies in upper stories.
- Occupants in the home, activity within the home, or traffic in and out of the home present a specific threat to a child's safety.
- People abusing substances, high, under the influence of substances particularly that can result in violent, sexual or aggressive behavior are routinely in the home, party in the home or have frequent access to the home while under the influence.
- People frequenting the home in order to sell drugs or who are involved in other criminal behavior that might be directly threatening to a child's safety or might attract people who are a threat to a child's safety.

Child Vulnerability

It is important to remember that the safety threshold criteria include a determination of the presence of a vulnerable child. Vulnerability will always include dependent young children but also can include dependent, helpless older children, especially those who are vulnerable to the authority and influence of adults within their family.

Application of the Safety Threshold Criteria

Vulnerability is a criterion within the safety threshold criteria.

This threat is illustrated in the following examples.

- A child lacks capacity to self-protect.
- A child is susceptible to experience severe consequences based on size, mobility, social/emotional state.
- Young children (generally 0-6 years of age).
- A child has physical or mental developmental disabilities.

- A child is isolated from the community.
- A child lacks the ability to anticipate and judge the presence of danger.
- A child consciously or unknowingly provokes or stimulates threats and reactions.
- A child is in poor physical health or has limited physical capacity and robustness; is frail.