2021 ANNUAL ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM
(Due October 15 to the dean)

The Faculty Senate Academic Assessment Committee (AAC) is committed to a vision of assessment that leads to continuous program improvements and benefits students. Annual assessment reporting informs decision making and resource allocation aimed at improving student learning and success. It also enables the AAC to analyze assessment across the institution and to respond to UA System, Board of Regents, legislative, and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) requests. We thank you for your continued support of and participation in this annual activity.

Starting in Spring 2021, UAA is moving to one academic assessment reporting mechanism. The below form merges and streamlines the former Annual Academic Assessment Survey and the Annual Academic Assessment Report. It also incorporates questions about how academic programs contribute to student achievement of institutional core competencies and to student success.

This annual report will be due to the dean on October 15. Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.

These reports are public documents and will be posted on the assessment website. Responses are to be narrative only, and must be ADA and FERPA compliant. Do not embed any links, including to webpages or other documents. To be FERPA compliant, do not include the names of any current or former students. Rather, use statements such as, “In AY21 four program graduates were accepted to graduate programs in the field.” Programs with specialized accreditation or other external recognitions must comply with restrictions regarding what can be published, as per the accreditor or external organization. Do not include appendices. Appendices to this form will not be accepted.

The form uses narrative, text, and drop-down boxes. Narrative boxes have a character limit, which includes spaces. When using text and drop-down boxes, if you want to undo an answer, press “Control-Z” or “Command-Z.”

For technical assistance with this form, email Academic Affairs (uaa.oaa@alaska.edu).

PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)

After completing the Program Section, the program should email this form to the dean, with a copy to the appropriate community campus director(s) if the program is delivered on a community campus.

Submission date: October 15
Submitted by: Diane K. Hanson, Associate Professor of Anthropology, dkhanson@alaska.edu

Program(s) covered in this report: MA program, Anthropology. (Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.)

If you selected “Other” above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: College of Arts and Sciences.

Campuses where the program(s) is delivered: XAnchorage ☐KOD ☐KPC ☐MSC ☐PWSC

Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable): Select Specialized Accrediting Agency or N/A.

If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe:

INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four “core competencies” at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extra-curricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA conducted a pilot project focusing on the core competency of Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR). This decision was based on input from the 2020 Annual Academic Assessment Retreat.

Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in this core competency.
1. **Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility:** The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.

   - What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this Core Competency? *(500 characters or less)*
     
     “In every graduate course we discussed the practical application of ethical principles in a work setting. This formed the foundation for my own professional identity and my reputation as a competent, respectful, and thoughtful anthropologist.”

   - Do you have an example that could be a model for the university of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that showcases the student learning in this core competency? **X**Yes ☐No
     
     If yes, please briefly describe. *(500 characters or less)*
     
     The graduate program in Applied Anthropology is designed to develop professional excellence, which includes working with others and ethical awareness. The ethics courses use group projects and team-based learning to address ethical dilemmas encountered in anthropology. The archaeology ethics course culminates in a student-designed dilemma solved by another team. Courses are designed to familiarize students with professional dilemmas they will encounter during their careers.

   - Do you have any ideas about where your program or the university might develop other intentionally designed opportunities for students to develop proficiency in this core competency? **X**Yes ☐No
     
     If yes, please briefly describe. *(500 characters or less)*
     
     Anchorage has the highest concentration of agencies and companies in the state. Companies are hiring archaeologists and applied anthropologists with no Alaska experience. Our department could develop an opportunity for companies to develop their employee base through company sponsorship, using the Anthropology Department to provide the core education, while they provide practical experience through their workplace. Collaborative educational opportunities could also bring their expertise to UAA.
2. Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY21. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.

In AY21 we had one graduate student finishing coursework, and two thesis defenses, limiting assessment opportunities. The defending students demonstrated they had mastered the required Anthropological Research Skills by demonstrating Analytic Reasoning, Application of Research Design, Use of Methods, and Written and Oral Presentation of Research, all components of a defendable thesis and a successful defense. The students exhibited mastery of these skills and exceeded faculty expectations.

3. Describe your assessment process in AY21 for these program student learning outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. (750 characters or less)

The assessment process is embedded in each faculty meeting when we discuss the progress of the students in the program, potential impediments to their advancement that need to be addressed, and opportunities for their advancement. Program assessments take place during these faculty meetings or during the post-defense conversations among the graduate student committee members that affect decisions about program changes.

4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (750 characters or less)

Department faculty within the same specialty discuss graduate student progress and where the obstacles might lie. The solutions and opportunities vary in disciplines within the larger field of Anthropology. Because the Anthropology faculty are reduced to three people on the main campus, the opportunities for group evaluations are lost and faculty members make personal assessments of student progress without input from their colleagues. Our ability to assess student learning outcomes is diminished by not having the capacity normally afforded a graduate program.

5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (750 Characters or less)

The most efficient way to improve student learning outcomes for all three categories
(Anthropological Knowledge, Ethical Awareness and Application, and Anthropological Research Skills) is to have enough faculty members to mentor the students. We have no faculty in two of the four disciplines that form the field of Anthropology (Linguistic and Biological Anthropology) limiting the current graduate students to a graduate program patched together from the remaining components. This does not provide the breadth expected in most graduate programs. Given the emphasis on archaeology based on student applications and community demand, a single archaeologist is insufficient to direct most of the students in our program.

PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING

6. In the past academic year, how did your program use the results of previous assessment cycles to make changes intended to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please check all that apply.

☐ Course curriculum changes
☐ Course prerequisite changes
☐ Changes in teaching methods
☐ Changes in advising
☐ Degree requirement changes
☐ Degree course sequencing
☐ Course enrollment changes (e.g., course capacity, grading structure [pass/fail, A-F])
☐ Changes in program policies/procedures
☐ Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)
☐ College-wide initiatives (e.g., High Impact Practices)
☐ Faculty, staff, student development
☐ Other

☒ No changes were implemented in AY21.

If you checked “Other” above, please describe. (100 characters or less)

7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)

One student admitted with the new curriculum implemented in 2019/2020, completed the second year of coursework in 2020/2021, therefore it is difficult to assess the effectiveness of the curriculum changes.
STUDENT SUCCESS AND THE CLOSING OF EQUITY GAPS

Programs are not required to respond to question #8 below for their report due on October 15, 2021. Question #8 will be required for the next round and moving forward.

8. Respond to at least one of the following metrics. Student success depends on many aspects of a student’s experience. On the academic program level, it can relate to correct placement, course sequencing, standardized pre-requisites, the intentional use of high impact practices, proactive advising, course scheduling practices, etc. UAA is using the following two metrics in its cyclical Program Review process, as well as in its reaffirmation of accreditation process. These data are included in the most recent IR-Reports Program Review dashboard. Please review these data for your program, note any equity gaps, and describe steps you are taking or plan to take to close those gaps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUNIOR GRADUATION RATE - BACCALAUREATE</td>
<td>The percentage of students who graduate with a bachelor's degree within four years of first reaching junior class status (60 credits). Data source: RPTP end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation.</td>
<td>Junior graduation rate (after 60 credits) can reflect a department's success in helping students complete their degrees. Within their first 60 credits, students typically focus on completing GERs and often switch majors. Tracking how long it takes students to complete their degrees after 60 credits, when many students have likely committed to a specific major, can provide actionable information for departments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COURSE PASS RATES BY COURSE LEVEL</td>
<td>The percentage of students who receive a passing grade (A, B, C, P) for all undergraduate students and (A, B, P) for graduate students in a course offered by a program compared to the same rate calculated for all courses at that level. Based on a 5-year trend. Included in the denominator for undergraduate courses are the grades D, F, W, I, NP, NB. Included in the denominator for graduate level are the grades C, D, F, W, I, NP, NB. Discipline acts as a proxy for a program. Data source: RPTP</td>
<td>Low pass rates are one critical way to identify courses that are barriers to student success and degree completion. Failing key courses correlates with low retention and more major switching. Mitigation strategies can be internal or external to the course itself, including, among other things, the use of high-impact pedagogical practices, appropriate placement, course sequencing, tutoring, and other means to ensure student success within a particular course. This metric and the disaggregation of the data can</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation.</td>
<td>inform planning, decision making, and the allocation of resources to programs and services designed to mitigate gaps in achievement and equity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight? For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. (750 characters or less)

One student was nominated by UAA for the Distinguished Master’s Thesis Award in Social Sciences the Western Association of Graduate Schools and ProQuest Award (2020)

One student was nominated by UAA for the Distinguished Master’s Thesis Award in STEM Disciplines the Western Association of Graduate Schools and ProQuest Award (2021)

Post graduate activities - 77% of our graduates are working in their field, 21% are in another graduate program or have since graduated (at least two have tenure now, and one is going for tenure), 2 students have retired, and 3 are deceased.

DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)

After completing the Dean Section and signing it, the dean should email this form to the program, and copy uaa_oaa@alaska.edu for posting. If the program is delivered on one or more community campus, the dean should consult with the appropriate community campus director(s) on the response and copy the appropriate community campus director(s) when emailing the response to the program.

1. Based on the program’s responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? Is there a particular area the program should focus on?

   The department is encouraged to work on ways in which to meet the state demands for anthropologists with advanced degrees in an efficient manner. This includes streamlining program requirements, identifying bottlenecks, and decreasing time to degree.

   It is recommended that all programs review their Program Assessment Plan to ensure clear inclusion of the new UAA Core Competencies and in particular to address the closing of any equity gaps in the program.
2. Is there something the program is doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, including the closing of equity gaps, that might serve as a model for other programs? If yes, please explain. You may skip this question.

The faculty in the program are well-connected established researchers with knowledge of what state agencies need.

Dean’s signature:  

Jenny McNulty  

Date: December 14, 2021