The Faculty Senate Academic Assessment Committee (AAC) is committed to a vision of assessment that leads to continuous program improvements and benefits students. Annual assessment reporting informs decision making and resource allocation aimed at improving student learning and success. It also enables the AAC to analyze assessment across the institution and to respond to UA System, Board of Regents, legislative, and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) requests. We thank you for your continued support of and participation in this annual activity.

Starting in Spring 2021, UAA is moving to one academic assessment reporting mechanism. The below form merges and streamlines the former Annual Academic Assessment Survey and the Annual Academic Assessment Report. It also incorporates questions about how academic programs contribute to student achievement of institutional core competencies and to student success.

This annual report will be due to the dean on October 15. Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.

These reports are public documents and will be posted on the assessment website. Responses are to be narrative only, and must be ADA and FERPA compliant. Do not embed any links, including to webpages or other documents. To be FERPA compliant, do not include the names of any current or former students. Rather, use statements such as, “In AY21 four program graduates were accepted to graduate programs in the field.” Programs with specialized accreditation or other external recognitions must comply with restrictions regarding what can be published, as per the accreditor or external organization. Do not include appendices. Appendices to this form will not be accepted.

The form uses narrative, text, and drop-down boxes. Narrative boxes have a character limit, which includes spaces. When using text and drop-down boxes, if you want to undo an answer, press “Control-Z” or “Command-Z.”

For technical assistance with this form, email Academic Affairs (uaa.oaa@alaska.edu).

---

**PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)**

*After completing the Program Section, the program should email this form to the dean, with a copy to the appropriate community campus director(s) if the program is delivered on a community campus.*

**Submission date:** 9/16/2021
Submitted by: Hilary Seitz, Early Childhood, hjseitz@alaska.edu

Program(s) covered in this report: Early Childhood Development AAS

(Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.)

If you selected “Other” above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: School of Education

Campuses where the program(s) is delivered: ☒Anchorage ☒KOD ☒KPC ☐MSC ☐PWSC

Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable): National Association for the Education of Young Children Commission on the Accreditation of Early Childhood Higher Education Programs

If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe: This program is accredited by the NAEYC. I am attaching the annual report.

INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four “core competencies” at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extra-curricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA conducted a pilot project focusing on the core competency of Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR). This decision was based on input from the 2020 Annual Academic Assessment Retreat.

Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in this core competency.
1. **Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility:** The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.

   - What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this Core Competency? *(500 characters or less)*

     Students would say the Early Childhood program provides many opportunities for them to flourish personally and professionally. In addition, they have a variety of community engagement experiences built into the program. One class in particular (EDEC A242) students are asked to work collaboratively to create short videos around personal and professional themes in the field of early childhood here is one example.

     Learn, Share, Grow: EC at UAA  https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VaXrvA6

   - Do you have an example that could be a model for the university of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that showcases the student learning in this core competency? ☒ Yes ☐ No

     If yes, please briefly describe. *(500 characters or less)*

     In the two capstone courses, Early Childhood Practicum and Seminar (EDEC A292 & 295), students create a professional ePortfolio using the eWolf system. We have a template where students upload Key Assessments and other documentation that provides evidence of meeting professional standards and SLOs. The eWolf includes: a biography, a Professional Action Plan, a School-Community Study, an Integrated Unit, and a Curriculum Based Assessment as well as reflections.

   - Do you have any ideas about where your program or the university might develop other intentionally designed opportunities for students to develop proficiency in this core competency? ☒ Yes ☐ No

     If yes, please briefly describe. *(500 characters or less)*

**PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES**

2. Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY21. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

   *Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.*

   Create respectful, culturally responsive relationships that support and empower families, and involve all families in their children's development and learning. - met faculty expectations
3. Describe your assessment process in AY21 for these program student learning outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. *(750 characters or less)*

Students complete six key assessment (KA) during their capstone semester (final practicum). Four of the six provide partial evidence of meeting the student learning outcome (NAEYC Standard 2). Our system to collect and analyze the data is working well. Students are submitting all of their Key Assessments (KA) to an ePortfolio system (eWolf) and having a minimum of two EC faculty evaluate and provide feedback for each assessment. We have rubrics for each KA in Blackboard. We analyze the rubric data annually.

4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? *(750 characters or less)*

Two applications of data were collected from KA 1, 2, 4, & 5 to provide evidence of meeting all three elements Standard 2. or SLO #2 AY20-21.

~ KA1 addresses only element 2c. All students were proficient for this element. One student exceeded the expectation.

~ KA2 addresses all three elements of standard 2. The school community study is a comprehensive key assessment addressing all three elements. One student earned a “not-met” in both 2a and 2c and subsequently has continued for an additional semester to retake a course. All other completers were proficient or exceeded.

~ KA4 addresses elements 2a, 2b, & 2c. All but one student either met or exceeded expectations.

~ KA5 addresses only 2c. All students met or exceed the element.

5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. *(750 Characters or less)*

Faculty have been looking at ways to scaffold all of the Key Assessments in prior courses in the program. The program has carefully designed courses, experiences, and assignments to align with the NAEYC standards. One course in particular aligns with SLO 2 (Standard 2) - Culturally Responsive Family Engagement. Students are well prepared to complete the capstone KAs and provide evidence of meeting this SLO/Standard.

**PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING**

6. In the past academic year, how did your program use the results of previous assessment cycles to make changes intended to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please check all that apply.

- ☒ Course curriculum changes
☐ Course prerequisite changes
☒ Changes in teaching methods
☐ Changes in advising
☒ Degree requirement changes
☐ Degree course sequencing
☐ Course enrollment changes (e.g., course capacity, grading structure [pass/fail, A-F])
☐ Changes in program policies/procedures
☐ Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)
☐ College-wide initiatives (e.g., High Impact Practices)
☐ Faculty, staff, student development
☐ Other
☐ No changes were implemented in AY21.

If you checked “Other” above, please describe. (100 characters or less)

7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)

We added a new courses specific to requirements in the field - EDEC A108 Health, Nutrition, and Wellness. This course was the first to fill and has the highest enrollment of all EDEC courses Fall, 2021. This course is aligned with health and safety standards in Alaska for childcare and preschool programs. In addition, it is aligned with the national standards of expectations for early childhood programs.

STUDENT SUCCESS AND THE CLOSING OF EQUITY GAPS

Programs are not required to respond to question #8 below for their report due on October 15, 2021. Question #8 will be required for the next round and moving forward.

8. Respond to at least one of the following metrics. Student success depends on many aspects of a student’s experience. On the academic program level, it can relate to correct placement, course sequencing, standardized pre-requisites, the intentional use of high impact practices, proactive advising, course scheduling practices, etc. UAA is using the following two metrics in its cyclical Program Review process, as well as in its reaffirmation of accreditation process. These data are included in the most recent IR-Reports Program Review dashboard. Please review these data for your program, note any equity gaps, and describe steps you are taking or plan to take to close those gaps.
Metric | Definition | Rationale
--- | --- | ---
**JUNIOR GRADUATION RATE - BACCALAUREATE** | The percentage of students who graduate with a bachelor's degree within four years of first reaching junior class status (60 credits). *Data source: RPTP end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation.* | Junior graduation rate (after 60 credits) can reflect a department's success in helping students complete their degrees. Within their first 60 credits, students typically focus on completing GERs and often switch majors. Tracking how long it takes students to complete their degrees after 60 credits, when many students have likely committed to a specific major, can provide actionable information for departments.

**COURSE PASS RATES BY COURSE LEVEL** (Undergraduate lower-division, undergraduate upper-division, and graduate). | The percentage of students who receive a passing grade (A, B, C, P) for all undergraduate students and (A, B, P) for graduate students in a course offered by a program compared to the same rate calculated for all courses at that level. Based on a 5-year trend. Included in the denominator for undergraduate courses are the grades D, F, W, I, NP, NB. Included in the denominator for graduate level are the grades C, D, F, W, I, NP, NB. Discipline acts as a proxy for a program. *Data source: RPTP end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation.* | Low pass rates are one critical way to identify courses that are barriers to student success and degree completion. Failing key courses correlates with low retention and more major switching. Mitigation strategies can be internal or external to the course itself, including, among other things, the use of high-impact pedagogical practices, appropriate placement, course sequencing, tutoring, and other means to ensure student success within a particular course. This metric and the disaggregation of the data can inform planning, decision making, and the allocation of resources to programs and services designed to mitigate gaps in achievement and equity.

9. **Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight?** For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. *(750 characters or less)*

   There are many employment opportunities for all graduates seeking a position in early education. Directors, principals and one assistant superintendent have contacted me for any graduates. Some positions are even including housing allowances around the state of Alaska. There is a critical need in the early childhood workforce.

---

**DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)**

*After completing the Dean Section and signing it, the dean should email this form to the program, and*
Based on the program’s responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? Is there a particular area the program should focus on? (750 characters or less)

I note and appreciate the collaborative development and use of "key assessments" with collaboratively developed metrics embedded in rubrics as core assessments in program courses and for the students' progression through the program. Of particular value is the way in which the various assessments cross inform each other and the professors who teach in and oversee the program and the students' progress and progression.

Is there something the program is doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, including the closing of equity gaps, that might serve as a model for other programs? If yes, please explain. You may skip this question. (750 characters or less)

An aspect of real strength in the program is the encompassing and intensive cross campus collaboration by or among both UAA main campus EC faculty as well as the EC faculty located in the community campuses.

Dean’s signature: ___________________________ Date: 2/9/2022