2021 ANNUAL ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM
(Due October 15 to the dean)

The Faculty Senate Academic Assessment Committee (AAC) is committed to a vision of assessment that leads to continuous program improvements and benefits students. Annual assessment reporting informs decision making and resource allocation aimed at improving student learning and success. It also enables the AAC to analyze assessment across the institution and to respond to UA System, Board of Regents, legislative, and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) requests. We thank you for your continued support of and participation in this annual activity.

Starting in Spring 2021, UAA is moving to one academic assessment reporting mechanism. The below form merges and streamlines the former Annual Academic Assessment Survey and the Annual Academic Assessment Report. It also incorporates questions about how academic programs contribute to student achievement of institutional core competencies and to student success.

This annual report will be due to the dean on October 15. Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.

These reports are public documents and will be posted on the assessment website. Responses are to be narrative only, and must be ADA and FERPA compliant. Do not embed any links, including to webpages or other documents. To be FERPA compliant, do not include the names of any current or former students. Rather, use statements such as, “In AY21 four program graduates were accepted to graduate programs in the field.” Programs with specialized accreditation or other external recognitions must comply with restrictions regarding what can be published, as per the accreditor or external organization. Do not include appendices. Appendices to this form will not be accepted.

The form uses narrative, text, and drop-down boxes. Narrative boxes have a character limit, which includes spaces. When using text and drop-down boxes, if you want to undo an answer, press “Control-Z” or “Command-Z.”

For technical assistance with this form, email Academic Affairs (uaa.oaa@alaska.edu).

PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)

After completing the Program Section, the program should email this form to the dean, with a copy to the appropriate community campus director(s) if the program is delivered on a community campus.

Submission date: 10/15/2021
Submitted by: Chris Lau  Program Director cflau@alaska.edu

Program(s) covered in this report: Fire & Emergency Services Technology AAS  
(Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.)

If you selected “Other” above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: College of Health

Campuses where the program(s) is delivered: ☒ Anchorage ☐ KOD ☐ KPC ☐ MSC ☐ PWSC

Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable): N/A

If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe: The Fire and Emergency Technology program is recognized by Fire and Emergency Services Higher Education and follows the FESHE model.

INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four “core competencies” at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extra-curricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA conducted a pilot project focusing on the core competency of Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR). This decision was based on input from the 2020 Annual Academic Assessment Retreat.

Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in this core competency.
1. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility: The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.
   o What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this Core Competency? *(500 characters or less)*
     We would hope students would respond that they have been prepared by our program to perform effectively in a professional environment and been given the personal tools to develop into quality leaders.
   o Do you have an example that could be a model for the university of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that showcases the student learning in this core competency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
     If yes, please briefly describe. *(500 characters or less)*
   o Do you have any ideas about where your program or the university might develop other intentionally designed opportunities for students to develop proficiency in this core competency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
     If yes, please briefly describe. *(500 characters or less)*
     In two ways: expand our student’s access to using their skills outside of the classroom in a professional learning environment (i.e. clinical rotations, assisting on ambulances and ride alongs) and tie our curriculum and classes closer to state and national certifications in the emergency services field making them competitive for employment after completion.

PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

2. Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY21. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

   *Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.*
   1. Discuss the history, support organizations, resources, incident management, training and emergency operations and relate how each plays a role within emergency services. - Met faculty expectations
   2. Define and use basic terms and concepts associated with the chemistry and dynamics of fire. Met faculty expectations
   3. Relate how fire prevention and fire inspections are connected. - Exceeded faculty expectations
   4. Demonstrate the importance of public education in relation to fire prevention. - Exceeded faculty expectations
3. Describe your assessment process in AY21 for these program student learning outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. (750 characters or less)

The FEST program had a new director appointed this fall. Previously, the position was covered by part time faculty and limited data from prior years are available. Many of the student outcomes and standards need to be updated. Data is gathered in accordance with the FEST program assessment plan through student results of practical activities, papers and exams. Competencies are monitored and results tracked as a student moves through the program. This interaction ensures students meet program, as well as state and national standards. The program director meets with adjuncts before and during each semester to discuss needs and issues for each individual class.

4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (750 characters or less)

-SLO 1 is reflected in class pass rate.
S2021 A101 Principles of Emergency Services 76%
F2020 A101 Principles of Emergency Services 72%
-SLO 2 is reflected in class pass rate.
S2021 A121 Fire Behavior and Combustion 100%
F2020 A121 Fire Behavior and Combustion 66.7%
-SLO 3 and 4 is reflected in class pass rate.
S2021 A105 Fire Prevention 90.2%
F2020 A105 Fire Prevention 89.7%

Noting the chaotic nature of the learning environment due to COVID, classes need to be tied closer to student learning outcomes. Student learning outcomes need to be updated as well as textbooks and curriculum materials. But notably, more data and consistency in the program are needed. Instructors and director are providing input to facilitate this improvement.

5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (750 Characters or less)

For many of the classes offered, the student learning outcomes need to be updated to more current standards and content to meet state and national qualification. It is the intent of this program to continuing updating these throughout the semester, tying many of the classes to state and federal certifications in the fire and emergency services field.
PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING

6. In the past academic year, how did your program use the results of previous assessment cycles to make changes intended to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please check all that apply.
   ☐ Course curriculum changes
   ☐ Course prerequisite changes
   ☐ Changes in teaching methods
   ☐ Changes in advising
   ☐ Degree requirement changes
   ☐ Degree course sequencing
   ☐ Course enrollment changes (e.g., course capacity, grading structure [pass/fail, A-F])
   ☐ Changes in program policies/procedures
   ☐ Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)
   ☐ College-wide initiatives (e.g., High Impact Practices)
   ☐ Faculty, staff, student development
   ☐ Other
   ☒ No changes were implemented in AY21.

If you checked “Other” above, please describe. (100 characters or less)

7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)

Currently, the FEST Program needs to address offered courses in the program that are no longer relevant and update outcomes to new standards to make students competitive in the current marketplace. The basic weakness of the program is that it offers a degree, but few of the required certifications needed to attain entry level employment in the field. Tying classes to attainable certifications, adding an AEMT class that gives students the opportunity to achieve a higher level EMS certification and developing a BS degree that attracts applicants already employed are current objectives in making the program relevant and sustainable. It is also the intent to convene an advisory board meeting to address community stakeholders needs and insight.

STUDENT SUCCESS AND THE CLOSING OF EQUITY GAPS

Programs are not required to respond to question #8 below for their report due on October 15, 2021. Question #8 will be required for the next round and moving forward.
8. Respond to at least one of the following metrics. Student success depends on many aspects of a student’s experience. On the academic program level, it can relate to correct placement, course sequencing, standardized pre-requisites, the intentional use of high impact practices, proactive advising, course scheduling practices, etc. UAA is using the following two metrics in its cyclical Program Review process, as well as in its reaffirmation of accreditation process. These data are included in the most recent IR-Reports Program Review dashboard. Please review these data for your program, note any equity gaps, and describe steps you are taking or plan to take to close those gaps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUNIOR GRADUATION RATE - BACCALAUREATE</td>
<td>The percentage of students who graduate with a bachelor’s degree within four years of first reaching junior class status (60 credits). Data source: RPTP end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation.</td>
<td>Junior graduation rate (after 60 credits) can reflect a department’s success in helping students complete their degrees. Within their first 60 credits, students typically focus on completing GERs and often switch majors. Tracking how long it takes students to complete their degrees after 60 credits, when many students have likely committed to a specific major, can provide actionable information for departments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COURSE PASS RATES BY COURSE LEVEL (Undergraduate lower-division, undergraduate upper-division, and graduate).</td>
<td>The percentage of students who receive a passing grade (A, B, C, P) for all undergraduate students and (A, B, P) for graduate students in a course offered by a program compared to the same rate calculated for all courses at that level. Based on a 5-year trend. Included in the denominator for undergraduate courses are the grades D, F, W, I, NP, NB. Included in the denominator for graduate level are the grades C, D, F, W, I, NP, NB. Discipline acts as a proxy for a program. Data source: RPTP end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation.</td>
<td>Low pass rates are one critical way to identify courses that are barriers to student success and degree completion. Failing key courses correlates with low retention and more major switching. Mitigation strategies can be internal or external to the course itself, including, among other things, the use of high-impact pedagogical practices, appropriate placement, course sequencing, tutoring, and other means to ensure student success within a particular course. This metric and the disaggregation of the data can inform planning, decision making, and the allocation of resources to programs and services designed to mitigate gaps in achievement and equity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight? For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. (750 characters or less)
   A majority of our students go on to pass the state and national certification exam for EMT I and EMT-B.
   EMT A130 - average grade of 88.2%
   EMT A130 - 83% certified as national EMT Basic

DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)

After completing the Dean Section and signing it, the dean should email this form to the program, and copy uaa.oaa@alaska.edu for posting. If the program is delivered on one or more community campus, the dean should consult with the appropriate community campus director(s) on the response and copy the appropriate community campus director(s) when emailing the response to the program.

1. Based on the program’s responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? Is there a particular area the program should focus on? (750 characters or less)
   The program identifies two primary areas for improvement: 1) the curriculum itself to incorporate industry standard certifications and 2) to ensure assessment data is systematically being collected and potentially modify the assessment plan that includes a variety of assessment tools, including advisory board/industry feedback. In the area of curriculum, I would like to work closely with the program in the upcoming year to assess the industry demand for the various levels of FEST training (certifications, AAS, BS) as well as how these levels could be scaffolded across curricula to allow students a progression of development. In terms of assessment, I appreciate the program’s attention to focusing on consistent data collection.

2. Is there something the program is doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, including the closing of equity gaps, that might serve as a model for other programs? If yes, please explain. You may skip this question. (750 characters or less)
   I appreciate the program’s attention to how the program is and/or isn't meeting current industry demands and the attention to including external stakeholders in program assessment and improvements.