

2021 ANNUAL ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM
(Due October 15 to the dean)**PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)****Submission date:** 10/15/2021**Submitted by:** Chris Lau Program Director cflau@alaska.edu**Program(s) covered in this report:** Fire & Emergency Services Technology AAS

If you selected "Other" above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: College of Health**Campuses where the program(s) is delivered:** ☒ Anchorage ☐ KOD ☐ KPC ☐ MSC ☐ PWSC**Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable):** N/A

If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe: The Fire and Emergency Technology program is recognized by Fire and Emergency Services Higher Education and follows the FESHE model.

INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four "core competencies" at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extra-curricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA conducted a pilot project focusing on the core competency of Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR). This decision was based on input from the 2020 Annual Academic Assessment Retreat.

Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in this core competency.

1. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility: The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.

- **What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this Core Competency? (500 characters or less)**

We would hope students would respond that they have been prepared by our program to perform effectively in a professional environment and been given the personal tools to develop into quality leaders.

- **Do you have an example that could be a model for the university of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that showcases the student learning in this core competency?** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please briefly describe. (500 characters or less)

- **Do you have any ideas about where your program or the university might develop other intentionally designed opportunities for students to develop proficiency in this core competency?** ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please briefly describe. (500 characters or less)

In two ways: expand our student's access to using their skills outside of the classroom in a professional learning environment (i.e. clinical rotations, assisting on ambulances and ride alongs) and tie our curriculum and classes closer to state and national certifications in the emergency services field making them competitive for employment after completion.

PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

2. Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY21. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.

1. Discuss the history, support organizations, resources, incident management, training and emergency operations and relate how each plays a role within emergency services. - Met faculty expectations
2. Define and use basic terms and concepts associated with the chemistry and dynamics of fire. Met faculty expectations
3. Relate how fire prevention and fire inspections are connected. - Exceeded faculty expectations
4. Demonstrate the importance of public education in relation to fire prevention. - Exceeded faculty expectations

3. Describe your assessment process in AY21 for these program student learning outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. (750 characters or less)

The FEST program had a new director appointed this fall. Previously, the position was covered by part time faculty and limited data from prior years are available. Many of the student outcomes and standards need to be updated. Data is gathered in accordance with the FEST program assessment plan through student results of practical activities, papers and exams. Competencies are monitored and results tracked as a student moves through the program. This interaction ensures students meet program, as well as state and national standards. The program director meets with adjuncts before and during each semester to discuss needs and issues for each individual class.

4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (750 characters or less)

-SLO 1 is reflected in class pass rate.

S2021 A101 Principles of Emergency Services 76%

F2020 A101 Principles of Emergency Services 72%

-SLO 2 is reflected in class pass rate.

S2021 A121 Fire Behavior and Combustion 100%

F2020 A121 Fire Behavior and Combustion 66.7%

-SLO 3 and 4 is reflected in class pass rate.

S2021 A105 Fire Prevention 90.2%

F2020 A105 Fire Prevention 89.7%

Noting the chaotic nature of the learning environment due to COVID, classes need to be tied closer to student learning outcomes. Student learning outcomes need to be updated as well as textbooks and curriculum materials. But notably, more data and consistency in the program are needed. Instructors and director are providing input to facilitate this improvement.

5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (750 Characters or less)

For many of the classes offered, the student learning outcomes need to be updated to more current standards and content to meet state and national qualification. It is the intent of this program to continuing updating these throughout the semester, tying many of the classes to state and federal certifications in the fire and emergency services field.

PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING

- 6. In the past academic year, how did your program use the results of previous assessment cycles to make changes intended to improve student achievement of the program student learning outcomes? Please check all that apply.**

- ☐ Course curriculum changes
- ☐ Course prerequisite changes
- ☐ Changes in teaching methods
- ☐ Changes in advising
- ☐ Degree requirement changes
- ☐ Degree course sequencing
- ☐ Course enrollment changes (e.g., course capacity, grading structure [pass/fail, A-F])
- ☐ Changes in program policies/procedures
- ☐ Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)
- ☐ College-wide initiatives (e.g., High Impact Practices)
- ☐ Faculty, staff, student development
- ☐ Other
- ☒ No changes were implemented in AY21.

If you checked "Other" above, please describe. (100 characters or less)

- 7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)**

Currently, the FEST Program needs to address offered courses in the program that are no longer relevant and update outcomes to new standards to make students competitive in the current marketplace. The basic weakness of the program is that it offers a degree, but few of the required certifications needed to attain entry level employment in the field. Tying classes to attainable certifications, adding an AEMT class that gives students the opportunity to achieve a higher level EMS certification and developing a BS degree that attracts applicants already employed are current objectives in making the program relevant and sustainable. It is also the intent to convene an advisory board meeting to address community stake holders needs and insight.

- 8. Programs are not required to respond to question #8 below for their report due on October 15, 2021. Question #8 will be required for the next round and moving forward.**

9. **Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight? For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. (750 characters or less)**

A majority of our students go on to pass the state and national certification exam for EMT I and EMT-B.

EMT A130 - average grade of 88.2%

EMT A130 - 83% certified as national EMT Basic

DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)

1. **Based on the program's responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? Is there a particular area the program should focus on? (750 characters or less)**

The program identifies two primary areas for improvement: 1) the curriculum itself to incorporate industry standard certifications and 2) to ensure assessment data is systematically being collected and potentially modify the assessment plan that includes a variety of assessment tools, including advisory board/industry feedback. In the area of curriculum, I would like to work closely with the program in the upcoming year to assess the industry demand for the various levels of FET training (certifications, AAS, BS) as well as how these levels could be scaffolded across curricula to allow students a progression of development. In terms of assessment, I appreciate the program's attention to focusing on consistent data collection.

2. **Is there something the program is doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, including the closing of equity gaps, that might serve as a model for other programs? If yes, please explain. You may skip this question. (750 characters or less)**

I appreciate the program's attention to how the program is and/or isn't meeting current industry demands and the attention to including external stakeholders in program assessment and improvements.

Dean's signature:



Date: 12/29/2021