

2022 ANNUAL ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM (Due October 15 to the dean)

The Faculty Senate Academic Assessment Committee (AAC) is committed to a vision of assessment that leads to continuous program improvements and benefits students. Annual assessment reporting informs decision making and resource allocation aimed at improving student learning and success. It also enables the AAC to analyze assessment across the institution and to respond to UA System, Board of Regents, legislative, and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) requests. We thank you for your continued support of and participation in this annual activity.

Starting in Spring 2021, UAA moved to one academic assessment reporting mechanism. The below form merges and streamlines the former Annual Academic Assessment Survey and the Annual Academic Assessment Report. It also incorporates questions about how academic programs contribute to student achievement of institutional core competencies and to student success.

This annual report will be due to the dean on October 15. Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.

These reports are public documents and will be posted on the assessment website. Responses are to be narrative only, and must be ADA- and FERPA-compliant. Do not embed any links, including to webpages or other documents. To be FERPA-compliant, do not include the names of any current or former students. Rather, use statements such as, "In AY22 four program graduates were accepted to graduate programs in the field." Programs with specialized accreditation or other external recognitions must comply with restrictions regarding what can be published, as per the accreditor or external organization. Do not include appendices. Appendices to this form will not be accepted.

The form uses narrative, text, and drop-down boxes. Narrative boxes have a character limit, which includes spaces. When using text and drop-down boxes, if you want to undo an answer, press "Control-Z" or "Command-Z."

Note: To ensure the fillable fields function correctly, the form must be completed in Microsoft Word. It will not function properly in Google Docs. Programs that wish to record collaborative discussion of the report might consider creating a separate document to take notes, before entering final responses in the official fillable form.

For technical assistance with this form, email Academic Affairs (uaa.oaa@alaska.edu).

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 1 of 8



PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)

After completing the Program Section, the program should email this form to the dean, with a copy to the appropriate community campus director(s) if the program is delivered on a community campus.

Submission date: 10/28/2022

Submitted by: Sherri LaRue, sllarue@alaska.edu

Program(s) covered in this report: Air Traffic Control AAS

(Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.)

If you selected "Other" above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: Community and Technical College

Campuses where the program(s) is delivered: \boxtimes Anchorage \square KOD \square KPC \square MSC \square PWSC

Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable): N/A

If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe: ATC251 and ATC351 are certified by an outside agency, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). Upon completion of the program, students are allowed to test for a flight dispatcher certification. In AY22, all students who chose to test were successful.

INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four core competencies at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extra-curricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA is phasing in the integration of the core competencies into ongoing processes, including program student learning outcomes assessment. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR) was integrated into the AY21 Annual Academic Assessment Report. The AY22 Annual Academic Assessment Report now also integrates Effective Communication.

Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in these two core competencies.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 2 of 8



- 1. A. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility: The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.
 - If last year you provided your program's current or planned example of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that develops and showcases the student learning in this core competency, please discuss that implementation and any observations you have regarding how well it is working. (500 characters or less)
 - If last year you did not identify a current or planned example of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that provides students the opportunity to develop and showcase this core competency, please identify one now. (500 characters or less)

 One of the classes required for the degree, ATC440 now has a "book club" assignment, that looks at what happened with the Boeing 737Max, and what role FAA inspectors played in these incidents. We discuss the the FAA inspectors' obligations to fully regulate operators, and how failing to do so resulted in a failure to protect the general public.
 - **B.** Effective Communication: The knowledge and skills necessary to engage in effective communication in diverse contexts and formats.
 - What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this core competency? (500 characters or less)
 - We would hope that they would say we model this in our classroom and laboratory environment. As we are a two-year technical program, we don't typically have assignments that would easily lend themselves to this topic, so we rely on classroom behavior.
 - Provide your program's current or planned example(s) of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that showcases the student learning in this core competency. (500 characters or less)
 - Again, as a two-year technical program, we really don't have anything designed to do this. Our students are however, required to take the GERs associated with all AAS degrees, so we rely on those courses to address some of these topics.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 3 of 8



PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

2. Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY22. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.

Our students are performing at a fairly high level, especially considering the difficulties raised by the ongoing Covid19 pandemic. Our most recent assessment report found the following success rate: Student knowledge of operating limitations and performance: 75%, moderate decrease. Met Faculty expectations.

Student knowledge of weather operations and atmospheric processes: Not available; we are relying on a series of adjuncts for this and do not have these numbers. We anticipate that will change in spring 2023. Not analyzed.

Student knowledge of Federal Air Regulations and FAA interactions: 82.5%, slight increase. Exceeded Faculty expectations.

Student knowledge of various separation standards: 85%. Exceeded Faculty expectations.

Student knowledge of industry trends: 85.1%, slight increase. Exceeded Faculty expectations.

Student knowledge of flight dispatch operations: 92.6, moderate increase. Exceeded Faculty expectations.

3. Describe your assessment process in AY22 for these Program Student Learning Outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. (750 characters or less)

All faculty members keep their records in Blackboard and provide those to the assessment coordinator. The coordinator is then in charge of compiling the statistics, preparing the report, and sharing the information with the faculty.

4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (750 characters or less)

Our students continue to perform at a high level. Their scores indicate they are engaged in the material. We attribute this partially to our inclusion of laboratory scenarios and time in all of our more advanced classes, which typically are met with great enthusiasm by the students. Our current scores indicate a stable program, with scores mostly showing a slight increase. The notable exception is students' knowledges of operating limitations and performance, which showed a 7% decrease. We are aware of this and will be cognizant of any further decline. As this is the first time for this, however, we do not currently plan any changes. An area of moderate increase was in our flight dispatch operations, which increased by 7.2%.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 4 of 8



5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (750 Characters or less) We did not make changes to the plan. We feel the plan adequately represents both what we teach and how well we are doing it. We will monitor for continued decrease in our scores for aircraft performance and limitations.

PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING

6.	In the past academic year, how did your program use the results of previous assessment cycles to
	make changes intended to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning
	Outcomes? Please check all that apply.
	□ Course curriculum changes
	□ Course prerequisite changes
	☐ Changes in teaching methods
	⊠ Changes in advising
	□Degree requirement changes
	□Degree course sequencing
	□Course enrollment changes (e.g., course capacity, grading structure [pass/fail, A-F])
	□Changes in program policies/procedures
	□Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)
	□College-wide initiatives (e.g., High-Impact Practices)
	⊠ Faculty, staff, student development
	□Other
	□No changes were implemented in AY22.
	If you checked "Other" above, please describe, (100 characters or less)

7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)

We are happy with the new course sequencing and how it is affecting our students' learning. We believe having the new lab fully operational will only increase that. Both faculty members remain updated on current FAA hiring and training procedures, which we then incorporate into our programs. An example of this would be recent changes to the training map used by the FAA in Oklahoma City. The faculty member in charge of our lab updated all of our lab training materials to reflect those changes.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 5 of 8



STUDENT SUCCESS AND THE CLOSING OF EQUITY GAPS

Student success depends on many aspects of a student's experience. On the academic program level, it can relate to correct placement, course sequencing, standardized pre-requisites across sets of courses, the intentional use of high-impact practices, proactive advising, course scheduling practices, etc.

UAA has selected the below metrics as student success metrics for accreditation.

In response to faculty questions and concerns about reporting on these data without more discussion and training, we will spend AY23 exploring together what equity data are and are not, how they can be used responsibly, and what programs can do to close equity gaps in student achievement on the below metrics, as well as to improve overall student achievement on them. UAA has a team participating in the NWCCU Data Equity Fellowship, and that team will help to guide these conversations.

8. PROGRAMS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO QUESTION #8 FOR THEIR REPORT DUE ON OCTOBER 15, 2022. IT IS HERE JUST FOR THEIR REFERENCE. Describe the actions your program is taking to improve student achievement on one or more of the following metrics. Also, describe any resulting improvements in student learning.

Metric	Definition	Rationale
UNDERGRADUATE	The percentage of students	Low pass rates are one critical
COURSE PASS	who receive a passing grade	way to identify courses that are
RATES	(A, B, C, P) for all	barriers to student success and
BY COURSE LEVEL	undergraduate students in a	degree completion. Failing key
(Undergraduate lower-	course offered by a program	courses correlates with low
division,	compared to the same rate	retention and more major
undergraduate upper-	calculated for all courses at	switching. Mitigation strategies
division).	that level. Based on a 5-year	can be internal or external to the
	trend. Included in the	course itself, including, among
	denominator for	other things, the use of high-
	undergraduate courses are the	impact pedagogical practices,
	grades D, F, W, I, NP, NB.	appropriate placement, course
	Data source: RPTP end-of-	sequencing, tutoring, and other means to ensure student
	term freeze files. Disaggregate	
	as per accreditation.	success within a particular course. This metric and the
		disaggregation of the data can
		inform planning, decision
		making, and the allocation of
		resources to programs and
		services designed to mitigate
		gaps in achievement and equity.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 6 of 8



Metric	Definition	Rationale
ANNUAL RETENTION 1 ST TO 2 ND FALL	Traditional measure of the % of first-time, full-time associate and baccalaureate degreeseeking freshmen who enter in a given fall term and return the following fall. Data source: UA System Warehouse RPTP/DEDMGR end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation on an annual basis.	Following the student from the 1 st fall to 2 nd fall can indicate ongoing connections and support inside and outside of the classroom are motivating students to return to continue their studies at the institution. Continuing enrollment is a key factor in completion.
SEMESTERS TO DEGREE – GRADUATE PROGRAMS	The average number of semesters taken by students to complete any graduate degree or graduate certificate program. Determined by students who have graduated from a graduate program as their primary degree. 5-year trend. Data source: UA System Warehouse RPTP/DEDMGR end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation on an annual basis.	Looking at the number of semesters graduate students take to complete their degrees illustrates how students progress through their degree programs (full-time, part-time, stop-out). This information on student behavior and completion can inform program structure and help the institution support students in a way that honors the time needed for rigorous intellectual engagement and growth and also ensures that students can complete in a timely manner.

 Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight? For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. (750 characters or less)

As the FAA academy in Oklahoma City is finally at full staff and hiring students again, we have had at least 5 students successfully complete the academy and move on to facility training. Since the changes we have made to staffing, labs and course structuring, our students have a 100% successful completion rate at the FAA academy (based on self-reporting by students, no official statistics are available). Also, 100% of our students who have chosen to test for the aircraft dispatchers continue to be successful. Since the beginning of our program, this has been the case and we have contributed to the local aviation community with this critical-needs job. This is also a great credit to our current adjunct, Mr. Marty Case.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 7 of 8

Date: 2/3/2023



DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)

After completing the Dean Section and signing it, the dean should email this form to the program, and copy <u>uaa oaa@alaska.edu</u> for posting. If the program is delivered on one or more community campus, the dean should consult with the appropriate community campus director(s) on the response and copy the appropriate community campus director(s) when emailing the response to the program.

1. Based on the program's responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? (750 characters or less)

The ATC program should continue to work with the Dean's office to develop an effective marketing plan. The program's students do well in industry and at the FAA academy, but there is a lack of awareness that the program exists in Alaska.

2. What is the program doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, for example, the achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes, the closing of equity gaps, or addressing the core competencies? (750 characters or less) The program has taken a number of steps to develop an effective course sequence. It is working well now as shown in the outcomes. Additionally, the pass rate at the FAA academy is unheard of in industry. This is to be commended, the overall pass rate at the academy is around 50% and to have a class of students all complete the academy successfully is truly astounding.

Dean's signature:

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 8 of 8