

2022 ANNUAL ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM
(Due October 15 to the dean)**PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)****Submission date:** 10/17/2022**Submitted by:** Cindy Trussell, Professor of Biological Sciences, citrussell@alaska.edu**Program(s) covered in this report:** Natural Sciences BS

If you selected "Other" above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: Select College/School.**Campuses where the program(s) is delivered:** ☒ Anchorage ☐ KOD ☐ KPC ☐ MSC ☐ PWSC**Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable):** N/A**If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe:****INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES**

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four core competencies at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extra-curricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA is phasing in the integration of the core competencies into ongoing processes, including program student learning outcomes assessment. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR) was integrated into the AY21 Annual Academic Assessment Report. The AY22 Annual Academic Assessment Report now also integrates Effective Communication.

Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in these two core competencies.

1. **A. *Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility: The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.***

- **If last year you provided your program's current or planned example of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that develops and showcases the student learning in this core competency, please discuss that implementation and any observations you have regarding how well it is working. (500 characters or less)**

In BIOL A492, Undergraduate Seminar, there are several assignments specifically designed to promote personal flourishing and professional excellence. There are a series of assignments the begin with an elevator pitch for an idea, a panel discussion, and then a letter of intent to apply for a grant. These are all skills that students may require when going out into the workforce.

- **If last year you *did not* identify a current or planned example of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that provides students the opportunity to develop and showcase this core competency, please identify one now. (500 characters or less)**

B. *Effective Communication: The knowledge and skills necessary to engage in effective communication in diverse contexts and formats.*

- **What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this core competency? (500 characters or less)**

Students will say that they developed proficiency in this core competency in BIOL A108, BIOL A243/273, BIOL A492, and GEOG A470.

- **Provide your program's current or planned example(s) of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that showcases the student learning in this core competency. (500 characters or less)**

The second PSLO for this program is to "Clearly and accurately communicate scientific ideas, theories, and observations in oral and written forms." One of the artifacts we currently use to address this outcome is a pre-proposal letter written to a granting agency in BIOL A492. Another artifact we have used are presentations on their scientific studies in BIOL A108. We could also solicit artifacts from GEOG A470 if needed.

PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

2. Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY22. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.

Clearly and accurately communicate scientific ideas, theories, and observations in oral and written forms.

3. Describe your assessment process in AY22 for these Program Student Learning Outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. (750 characters or less)

We collected artifacts from the course instructors of BIOL A108 and BIOL A492. We, the assessment committee, evaluated a subset of nine artifacts with a communication rubric (appendix in our assessment plan). We then compared the medians and modes from the BIOL A108 course to the results in the BIOL A492 course. We presented our results to the faculty of Biological Sciences on October 7.

4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (750 characters or less)

It is evident that students are attaining or developing proficiency in several standard methods of science communication. We did see some variation in the data and noted a few aspects that may have influenced this variation. First, students may put more effort into a six credit class (BIOL A108) than a one credit class (BIOL A492). Second, the communications rubric we developed is designed for a research paper format and not for a letter format. Third, in BIOL A108, the artifact we evaluated was the third of its kind, with students having received feedback, whereas the BIOL A492 assignment was the first of its kind.

5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (750 Characters or less)

Faculty have made several suggestions to both improve the assessment process and also to improve student achievement. First, we are now communicating the PSLO we are assessing to the entire faculty and reminding them of the rubric we use to score the artifacts. We believe this will allow students and faculty to reflect on the level of communication we hope they achieve. We also plan to collect artifacts from at least two other courses, possibly BIOL A273/243, GEOG A470, and BIOL A455. While student achievement might remain similar, we will have a fuller picture of their communication abilities.

PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING

6. In the past academic year, how did your program use the results of previous assessment cycles to make changes intended to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes? Please check all that apply.

- ☒ Course curriculum changes
- ☐ Course prerequisite changes
- ☒ Changes in teaching methods
- ☐ Changes in advising
- ☒ Degree requirement changes
- ☐ Degree course sequencing
- ☐ Course enrollment changes (e.g., course capacity, grading structure [pass/fail, A-F])
- ☒ Changes in program policies/procedures
- ☐ Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)
- ☒ College-wide initiatives (e.g., High-Impact Practices)
- ☐ Faculty, staff, student development
- ☐ Other
- ☐ No changes were implemented in AY22.

If you checked "Other" above, please describe. (100 characters or less)

7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)

This program is in a continuing review at the program level and as such we have redesigned the tracks associated with the degree. We updated the Environmental Sciences Track to adjust for the loss of the Bachelor's Degree in Environmental Science at the University. This entailed having many more required courses for this track. In addition, we removed the General Sciences option as a track and updated the Health Sciences option to remove courses that are no longer offered.

STUDENT SUCCESS AND THE CLOSING OF EQUITY GAPS

Student success depends on many aspects of a student's experience. On the academic program level, it can relate to correct placement, course sequencing, standardized pre-requisites across sets of courses, the intentional use of high-impact practices, proactive advising, course scheduling practices, etc.

UAA has selected the below metrics as student success metrics for accreditation.

In response to faculty questions and concerns about reporting on these data without more discussion and training, we will spend AY23 exploring together what equity data are and are not, how they can be used responsibly, and what programs can do to close equity gaps in student achievement on the below metrics, as well as to improve overall student achievement on them. UAA has a team participating in the NWCCU Data Equity Fellowship, and that team will help to guide these conversations.

8. PROGRAMS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO QUESTION #8 FOR THEIR REPORT DUE ON OCTOBER 15, 2022. IT IS HERE JUST FOR THEIR REFERENCE.

9. Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight? For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. (750 characters or less)

We do not have these data in percentages, but we do know that one student is now at a SUNY MD/PhD program. At least two students have found employment in a related field, one at ANTHC and another at ADF&G. Two undergraduate researchers were coauthors on a paper with Dr. Stecyk. Another undergraduate student published with Dr. Bortz. Two other students presented at national conferences (SACNAS and CUR). One of our majors also made the Olympic team!

DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)

1. Based on the program's responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? (750 characters or less)

The department has acknowledged the need for improvement in the assessment process (question 5) and is taking steps for this improvement. The program is encouraged to move forward with these suggestions.

2. What is the program doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, for example, the achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes, the closing of equity gaps, or addressing the core competencies? (750 characters or less)

The report clearly highlights courses that focus on student attainment and achievement of the two core competencies mentioned at the beginning of the report. It is clear that students have ample opportunities to fine tune their skills and excel in these areas. The program is commended for their review and update of the curriculum to ensure the major is meeting the needs of students.

Dean's signature:

Jenny McNulty

Date: 1/9/2023