

2022 ANNUAL ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM (Due October 15 to the dean)

The Faculty Senate Academic Assessment Committee (AAC) is committed to a vision of assessment that leads to continuous program improvements and benefits students. Annual assessment reporting informs decision making and resource allocation aimed at improving student learning and success. It also enables the AAC to analyze assessment across the institution and to respond to UA System, Board of Regents, legislative, and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) requests. We thank you for your continued support of and participation in this annual activity.

Starting in Spring 2021, UAA moved to one academic assessment reporting mechanism. The below form merges and streamlines the former Annual Academic Assessment Survey and the Annual Academic Assessment Report. It also incorporates questions about how academic programs contribute to student achievement of institutional core competencies and to student success.

This annual report will be due to the dean on October 15. Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.

These reports are public documents and will be posted on the assessment website. Responses are to be narrative only, and must be ADA- and FERPA-compliant. Do not embed any links, including to webpages or other documents. To be FERPA-compliant, do not include the names of any current or former students. Rather, use statements such as, "In AY22 four program graduates were accepted to graduate programs in the field." Programs with specialized accreditation or other external recognitions must comply with restrictions regarding what can be published, as per the accreditor or external organization. Do not include appendices. Appendices to this form will not be accepted.

The form uses narrative, text, and drop-down boxes. Narrative boxes have a character limit, which includes spaces. When using text and drop-down boxes, if you want to undo an answer, press "Control-Z" or "Command-Z."

Note: To ensure the fillable fields function correctly, the form must be completed in Microsoft Word. It will not function properly in Google Docs. Programs that wish to record collaborative discussion of the report might consider creating a separate document to take notes, before entering final responses in the official fillable form.

For technical assistance with this form, email Academic Affairs (uaa.oaa@alaska.edu).

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 1 of 8



PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)

After completing the Program Section, the program should email this form to the dean, with a copy to the appropriate community campus director(s) if the program is delivered on a community campus.

Submission date: 10/17/2022

Submitted by: Cindy Trussell, Professor of Biological Sciences, citrussell@alaska.edu

Program(s) covered in this report: Natural Sciences BS

(Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.)

If you selected "Other" above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: Select College/School.

Campuses where the program(s) is delivered: \square Anchorage \square KOD \square KPC \square MSC \square PWSC

Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable): N/A

If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe:

INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four core competencies at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extra-curricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA is phasing in the integration of the core competencies into ongoing processes, including program student learning outcomes assessment. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR) was integrated into the AY21 Annual Academic Assessment Report. The AY22 Annual Academic Assessment Report now also integrates Effective Communication.

Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in these two core competencies.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 2 of 8



- 1. A. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility: The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.
 - If last year you provided your program's current or planned example of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that develops and showcases the student learning in this core competency, please discuss that implementation and any observations you have regarding how well it is working. (500 characters or less)
 In BIOL A492, Undergraduate Seminar, there are several assignments specifically designed to promote personal flourishing and professional excellence. There are a series of assignments the begin with an elevator pitch for an idea, a panel discussion, and then a letter of intent to apply for a grant. These are all skills that students may require when going out into the workforce.
 - If last year you *did not* identify a current or planned example of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that provides students the opportunity to develop and showcase this core competency, please identify one now. (500 characters or less)
 - **B.** Effective Communication: The knowledge and skills necessary to engage in effective communication in diverse contexts and formats.
 - What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this core competency? (500 characters or less)
 - Students will say that they developed proficiency in this core competency in BIOL A108, BIOL A243/273, BIOL A492, and GEOG A470.
 - Provide your program's current or planned example(s) of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that showcases the student learning in this core competency. (500 characters or less)
 - The second PSLO for this program is to "Clearly and accurately communicate scientific ideas, theories, and observations in oral and written forms." One of the artifacts we currently use to address this outcome is a pre-proposal letter written to a granting agency in BIOL A492. Another artifact we have used are presentations on their scientific studies in BIOL A108. We could also solicit artifacts from GEOG A470 if needed.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 3 of 8



PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY22. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.

Clearly and accurately communicate scientific ideas, theories, and observations in oral and written forms.

3. Describe your assessment process in AY22 for these Program Student Learning Outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. (750 characters or less)

We collected artifacts from the course instructors of BIOL A108 and BIOL A492. We, the assessment committee, evaluated a subset of nine artifacts with a communication rubric (appendix in our assessment plan). We then compared the medians and modes from the BIOL A108 course to the results in the BIOL A492 course. We presented our results to the faculty of Biological Sciences on October 7.

4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (750 characters or less)

It is evident that students are attaining or developing proficiency in several standard methods of science communication. We did see some variation in the data and noted a few aspects that may have influenced this variation. First, students may put more effort into a six credit class (BIOL A108) than a one credit class (BIOL A492) . Second, the communications rubric we developed is designed for a research paper format and not for a letter format. Third, in BIOL A108, the artifact we evaluated was the third of its kind, with students having received feedback, whereas the BIOL A492 assignment was the first of its kind.

5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (750 Characters or less)

Faculty have made several suggestions to both improve the assessment process and also to improve student achievement. First, we are now communicating the PSLO we are assessing to the entire faculty and reminding them of the rubric we use to score the artifacts. We believe this will allow students and faculty to reflect on the level of communication we hope they achieve. We also plan to collect artifacts from at least two other courses, possibly BIOL A273/243, GEOG A470, and BIOL A455. While student achievement might remain similar, we will have a fuller picture of their

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 4 of 8



communication abilities.

PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING

make changes intended to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning			
Outcomes? Please check all that apply.			
⊠Course curriculum changes			
\square Course prerequisite changes			
⊠Changes in teaching methods			
□Changes in advising			
☑ Degree requirement changes			
□Degree course sequencing			
□Course enrollment changes (e.g., course capacity, grading structure [pass/fail, A-F])			
⊠Changes in program policies/procedures			
□Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)			
⊠College-wide initiatives (e.g., High-Impact Practices)			
□Faculty, staff, student development			
□Other			
\square No changes were implemented in AY22.			
If you checked "Other" above, please describe. (100 characters or less)			

6. In the past academic year, how did your program use the results of previous assessment cycles to

7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)

This program is in a continuing review at the program level and as such we have redesigned the tracks associated with the degree. We updated the Environmental Sciences Track to adjust for the loss of the Bachelor's Degree in Environmental Science at the University. This entailed having many more required courses for this track. In addition, we removed the General Sciences option as a track and updated the Health Sciences option to remove courses that are no longer offered.

STUDENT SUCCESS AND THE CLOSING OF EQUITY GAPS

Student success depends on many aspects of a student's experience. On the academic program level, it can relate to correct placement, course sequencing, standardized pre-requisites across sets of courses, the intentional use of high-impact practices, proactive advising, course scheduling practices, etc.

UAA has selected the below metrics as student success metrics for accreditation.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 5 of 8



In response to faculty questions and concerns about reporting on these data without more discussion and training, we will spend AY23 exploring together what equity data are and are not, how they can be used responsibly, and what programs can do to close equity gaps in student achievement on the below metrics, as well as to improve overall student achievement on them. UAA has a team participating in the NWCCU Data Equity Fellowship, and that team will help to guide these conversations.

8. PROGRAMS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO QUESTION #8 FOR THEIR REPORT DUE ON OCTOBER 15, 2022. IT IS HERE JUST FOR THEIR REFERENCE. Describe the actions your program is taking to improve student achievement on one or more of the following metrics. Also, describe any resulting improvements in student learning.

Metric	Definition	Rationale
UNDERGRADUATE	The percentage of students	Low pass rates are one critical
COURSE PASS	who receive a passing grade	way to identify courses that are
RATES	(A, B, C, P) for all	barriers to student success and
BY COURSE LEVEL	undergraduate students in a	degree completion. Failing key
(Undergraduate lower-	course offered by a program	courses correlates with low
division,	compared to the same rate	retention and more major
undergraduate upper-	calculated for all courses at	switching. Mitigation strategies
division).	that level. Based on a 5-year	can be internal or external to the
	trend. Included in the	course itself, including, among
	denominator for	other things, the use of high-
	undergraduate courses are the	impact pedagogical practices,
	grades D, F, W, I, NP, NB.	appropriate placement, course
	Data source: RPTP end-of-	sequencing, tutoring, and other
	term freeze files. Disaggregate	means to ensure student
	as per accreditation.	success within a particular
		course. This metric and the
		disaggregation of the data can
		inform planning, decision
		making, and the allocation of
		resources to programs and
		services designed to mitigate
		gaps in achievement and equity.
ANNUAL	Traditional measure of the %	Following the student from the
RETENTION	of first-time, full-time associate	1st fall to 2nd fall can indicate
1 ST TO 2 ND FALL	and baccalaureate degree-	ongoing connections and
	seeking freshmen who enter in	support inside and outside of the
	a given fall term and return the	classroom are motivating
	following fall. Data source: UA	students to return to continue
	System Warehouse	their studies at the institution.
	RPTP/DEDMGR end-of-term	Continuing enrollment is a key
	freeze files. Disaggregate as	factor in completion.
	per accreditation on an annual	'
	basis.	

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 6 of 8



Metric	Definition	Rationale
SEMESTERS TO DEGREE – GRADUATE PROGRAMS	The average number of semesters taken by students to complete any graduate degree or graduate certificate program. Determined by students who have graduated from a graduate program as their primary degree. 5-year trend. Data source: UA System Warehouse RPTP/DEDMGR end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation on an annual basis.	Looking at the number of semesters graduate students take to complete their degrees illustrates how students progress through their degree programs (full-time, part-time, stop-out). This information on student behavior and completion can inform program structure and help the institution support students in a way that honors the time needed for rigorous intellectual engagement and growth and also ensures that students can complete in a timely manner.

 Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight? For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. (750 characters or less)

We do not have these data in percentages, but we do know that one student is now at a SUNY MD/PhD program. At least two students have found employment in a related field, one at ANTHC and another at ADF&G. Two undergraduate researchers were coauthors on a paper with Dr. Stecyk. Another undergraduate student published with Dr. Bortz. Two other students presented at national conferences (SACNAS and CUR). One of our majors also made the Olympic team!

DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)

After completing the Dean Section and signing it, the dean should email this form to the program, and copy <u>uaa oaa@alaska.edu</u> for posting. If the program is delivered on one or more community campus, the dean should consult with the appropriate community campus director(s) on the response and copy the appropriate community campus director(s) when emailing the response to the program.

1. Based on the program's responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? (750 characters or less)

The department has acknowledged the need for improvement in the assessment process (question 5) and is taking steps for this improvement. The program is encouraged to move forward with these suggestions.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 7 of 8



2. What is the program doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, for example, the achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes, the closing of equity gaps, or addressing the core competencies? (750 characters or less) The report clearly highlights courses that focus on student attainment and achievement of the two core competencies mentioned at the beginning of the report. It is clear that students have ample opportunities to fine tune their skills and excel in these areas. The program is commended for their review and update of the curriculum to ensure the major is meeting the needs of students.

Jenny McNulty

Dean's signature: Date: 1/9/2023

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 8 of 8