

# 2022 ANNUAL ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM (Due October 15 to the dean)

The Faculty Senate Academic Assessment Committee (AAC) is committed to a vision of assessment that leads to continuous program improvements and benefits students. Annual assessment reporting informs decision making and resource allocation aimed at improving student learning and success. It also enables the AAC to analyze assessment across the institution and to respond to UA System, Board of Regents, legislative, and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) requests. We thank you for your continued support of and participation in this annual activity.

Starting in Spring 2021, UAA moved to one academic assessment reporting mechanism. The below form merges and streamlines the former Annual Academic Assessment Survey and the Annual Academic Assessment Report. It also incorporates questions about how academic programs contribute to student achievement of institutional core competencies and to student success.

This annual report will be due to the dean on October 15. Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.

These reports are public documents and will be posted on the assessment website. Responses are to be narrative only, and must be ADA- and FERPA-compliant. Do not embed any links, including to webpages or other documents. To be FERPA-compliant, do not include the names of any current or former students. Rather, use statements such as, "In AY22 four program graduates were accepted to graduate programs in the field." Programs with specialized accreditation or other external recognitions must comply with restrictions regarding what can be published, as per the accreditor or external organization. Do not include appendices. Appendices to this form will not be accepted.

The form uses narrative, text, and drop-down boxes. Narrative boxes have a character limit, which includes spaces. When using text and drop-down boxes, if you want to undo an answer, press "Control-Z" or "Command-Z."

*Note:* To ensure the fillable fields function correctly, the form must be completed in Microsoft Word. It will not function properly in Google Docs. Programs that wish to record collaborative discussion of the report might consider creating a separate document to take notes, before entering final responses in the official fillable form.

For technical assistance with this form, email Academic Affairs (uaa.oaa@alaska.edu).

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 1 of 8



# PROGRAM SECTION (Due to the dean on October 15)

After completing the Program Section, the program should email this form to the dean, with a copy to the appropriate community campus director(s) if the program is delivered on a community campus.

Submission date: 11/17/2022

Submitted by: LuAnn Piccard, Professor and Chair, Ipiccard2@alaska.edu

Program(s) covered in this report: Project Management GC/MS

(Programs with suspended admissions and new programs in the first year of implementation are not required to complete this form.)

If you selected "Other" above, please identify. (100 characters or less)

College: College of Engineering

Campuses where the program(s) is delivered:  $\square$  Anchorage  $\square$  KOD  $\square$  KPC  $\square$  MSC  $\square$  PWSC

**Specialized accrediting agency (if applicable):** Project Management Institute Global Accreditation Center for Project Management Education Programs

If explanation is necessary, such as only some of the certificates and degrees are covered by the specialized accreditation, briefly describe: MSPM Degree, MSPM with Leadership Concentration and MSPM with Management Concentration, and the Graduate Certificate in Project Management are covered by PMI-GAC accreditation. All were awarded reaffirmation of accreditation in Dec 2021.

#### INSTITUTIONAL STUDENT LEARNING CORE COMPETENCIES

In 2020, UAA launched a consensus-based, deliberative process to identify the key skillsets that help students achieve academic and post-graduation success. After a year-long process that included students, faculty, staff, administrators, alumni, and employers, the UAA community identified four core competencies at the heart of a quality UAA education. Students develop mastery of these competencies through curricular (e.g., courses), co-curricular (e.g., internships, conferences), and extra-curricular (e.g., student clubs) learning experiences.

After the stakeholder-based process in AY20, UAA is phasing in the integration of the core competencies into ongoing processes, including program student learning outcomes assessment. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility (PPCR) was integrated into the AY21 Annual Academic Assessment Report. The AY22 Annual Academic Assessment Report now also integrates Effective Communication.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 2 of 8



Question #1 below is designed to engage program faculty in thinking about how they can or already do promote student learning in these two core competencies.

- 1. A. Personal, Professional, and Community Responsibility: The knowledge and skills necessary to promote personal flourishing, professional excellence, and community engagement.
  - If last year you provided your program's current or planned example of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that develops and showcases the student learning in this core competency, please discuss that implementation and any observations you have regarding how well it is working. (500 characters or less)
    - These three courses were mentioned in the 21-22 report but not specifically submitted. All of our courses utilize active project-based learning principles. Three great examples are PM A623: Stakeholder Engagement and Collaboration, PM A641: Lean Six Sigma Green Belt, and PM A686A&B Capstone Project. We also provide elective course credit for internships that several students benefit from annually.
  - If last year you *did not* identify a current or planned example of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that provides students the opportunity to develop and showcase this core competency, please identify one now. (500 characters or less)
  - **B.** Effective Communication: The knowledge and skills necessary to engage in effective communication in diverse contexts and formats.
  - What would you hope a student would say if asked where in your program or support service they had the opportunity to develop proficiency in this core competency? (500 characters or less)
    - Each course in the MSPM program provides an opportunity to for active engagement in project-based learning through hands-on application of learning in the professional environment. Students have multiple opportunities to practice communication through formal presentations of individual and team-based assignments, stakeholder interviews, and regular project status briefings both in-person and virtually. Effective communication is 90% of a PM's job and practice with feedback is essential.
  - Provide your program's current or planned example(s) of an intentionally designed course, assignment, or activity that showcases the student learning in this core competency. (500 characters or less)
    - PM A623 Stakeholder Engagement and Collaboration provides four class opportunities in four class sessions for students to interview four actual stakeholders associated with a project. Students practice interviewing, engaged listening, summarization, and presentations skills. They present their findings to the stakeholder panel and get targeted feedback.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 3 of 8



## PROGRAM STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

2. Please list the Program Student Learning Outcomes your program assessed in AY22. For each outcome, indicate one of the following: Exceeded faculty expectations, Met faculty expectations, or Did not meet faculty expectations.

Example: Communicate effectively in a variety of contexts and formats – Exceeded faculty expectations.

- 1. TECHNICAL EXPERTISE: Demonstrate the capability to manage end-to-end projects successfully across a broad range of scale, complexity, scope, environments and inherent risks and constraints through appropriate selection, tailoring and application of knowledge, processes, approaches, tools and techniques. Met faculty expectations
- 2. PROFESSIONAL BEHAVIOR: Demonstrate ethical, versatile, and culturally aware leadership, stakeholder engagement, change leadership, and communication skills in a broad range of organizational contexts.- Met faculty expectations
- 3. STRATEGIC AWARENESS: Demonstrate ability to enhance success of organizations through alignment of project outcomes with strategic objectives and operational drivers. -Met faculty expectations
- 4. BUSINESS AND PROJECT ANALYTICS: Demonstrate a facility for comprehensive and objective analysis, structured decision-making, process optimization, and problem solving in the project management environment. Met faculty expectations
- 5. CONTRIBUTION TO BODY OF KNOWLEDGE: Conduct research that contributes to and expands the diverse project management body of knowledge.- Met faculty expectations.
- 3. Describe your assessment process in AY22 for these Program Student Learning Outcomes, including the collection of data, analysis of data, and faculty (and other, e.g., advisory board) conversations around the findings. (750 characters or less)

End semester faculty review of student outcomes at the course level and program level for students graduating in that semester. Faculty and Advisory Board conversations regarding findings. Insights gained used for continuous improvement for following semesters. Data reported annually to PMI-GAC.

4. What are the findings and what do they tell the faculty about student learning in your program? (750 characters or less)

Although students met our standards and are achieving learning outcomes. In recent years, some students enter the capstone project less prepared than we expected. Others far exceed expectations. The less ready students require a greater level of support that we expect at that point. We are concerned about the consistency across our student population and are taking measures to understand what is driving the difference in capstone readiness.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 4 of 8



5. Based on the findings, did the faculty make any recommendations for changes to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes? Please describe the recommended action, what improvement in student learning the program hopes to see with this change, the proposed timeline, and how the program will know if the change has worked. If no recommendations for changes were made, please explain that decision. (750 Characters or less) We plan to address more stringent readiness measures at checkpoints in the middle core required series to assess readiness and provide earlier mentoring.

#### PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND ASSESSING IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING

6.	In the past academic year, how did your program use the results of previous assessment cycles to
	make changes intended to improve student achievement of the Program Student Learning
	Outcomes? Please check all that apply.
	⊠Course curriculum changes
	□ Course prerequisite changes
	⊠ Changes in teaching methods
	⊠ Changes in advising
	□Degree requirement changes
	□Degree course sequencing
	□Course enrollment changes (e.g., course capacity, grading structure [pass/fail, A-F])
	□Changes in program policies/procedures
	□Changes to Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)
	□College-wide initiatives (e.g., High-Impact Practices)
	□Faculty, staff, student development
	□Other
	□ No changes were implemented in AY22.
	If you checked "Other" above, please describe. (100 characters or less)

7. Do you have any information about how well these or other past improvements are working? Are they achieving their intended goals? Please include any data or assessment results that help you demonstrate this. (750 characters or less)

In process learning. We are trying to assess some of the root cause issues and will revise curriculum accordingly. Additionally we plan a curriculum refresh in the next two years to align program with emerging industry expectations. This refresh will also us to revisit any systemic issues found, add new high-impact practices to reinforce learning, and include new content relevant to future workforce needs.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 5 of 8



## STUDENT SUCCESS AND THE CLOSING OF EQUITY GAPS

Student success depends on many aspects of a student's experience. On the academic program level, it can relate to correct placement, course sequencing, standardized pre-requisites across sets of courses, the intentional use of high-impact practices, proactive advising, course scheduling practices, etc.

UAA has selected the below metrics as student success metrics for accreditation.

In response to faculty questions and concerns about reporting on these data without more discussion and training, we will spend AY23 exploring together what equity data are and are not, how they can be used responsibly, and what programs can do to close equity gaps in student achievement on the below metrics, as well as to improve overall student achievement on them. UAA has a team participating in the NWCCU Data Equity Fellowship, and that team will help to guide these conversations.

8. PROGRAMS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO QUESTION #8 FOR THEIR REPORT DUE ON OCTOBER 15, 2022. IT IS HERE JUST FOR THEIR REFERENCE. Describe the actions your program is taking to improve student achievement on one or more of the following metrics. Also, describe any resulting improvements in student learning.

Metric	Definition	Rationale
UNDERGRADUATE	The percentage of students who	Low pass rates are one critical way
COURSE PASS RATES	receive a passing grade (A, B, C, P)	to identify courses that are barriers
BY COURSE LEVEL	for all undergraduate students in a	to student success and degree
(Undergraduate lower-	course offered by a program	completion. Failing key courses
division, undergraduate	compared to the same rate	correlates with low retention and
upper-division).	calculated for all courses at that	more major switching. Mitigation
	level. Based on a 5-year trend.	strategies can be internal or external
	Included in the denominator for	to the course itself, including, among
	undergraduate courses are the	other things, the use of high-impact
	grades D, F, W, I, NP, NB. Data source: RPTP end-of-term freeze	pedagogical practices, appropriate
	files. Disaggregate as per	placement, course sequencing, tutoring, and other means to ensure
	accreditation.	student success within a particular
	acciculation.	course. This metric and the
		disaggregation of the data can
		inform planning, decision making,
		and the allocation of resources to
		programs and services designed to
		mitigate gaps in achievement and
		equity.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 6 of 8



Metric	Definition	Rationale
ANNUAL RETENTION  1 <sup>ST</sup> TO 2 <sup>ND</sup> FALL	Traditional measure of the % of first-time, full-time associate and baccalaureate degree-seeking freshmen who enter in a given fall term and return the following fall. Data source: UA System Warehouse RPTP/DEDMGR end-ofterm freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation on an annual basis.	Following the student from the 1 <sup>st</sup> fall to 2 <sup>nd</sup> fall can indicate ongoing connections and support inside and outside of the classroom are motivating students to return to continue their studies at the institution. Continuing enrollment is a key factor in completion.
SEMESTERS TO DEGREE - GRADUATE PROGRAMS	The average number of semesters taken by students to complete any graduate degree or graduate certificate program. Determined by students who have graduated from a graduate program as their primary degree. 5-year trend. Data source: UA System Warehouse RPTP/DEDMGR end-of-term freeze files. Disaggregate as per accreditation on an annual basis.	Looking at the number of semesters graduate students take to complete their degrees illustrates how students progress through their degree programs (full-time, part-time, stop-out). This information on student behavior and completion can inform program structure and help the institution support students in a way that honors the time needed for rigorous intellectual engagement and growth and also ensures that students can complete in a timely manner.

 Do you have any examples of post-graduate success you want to highlight? For example, major scholarships, the percent of students who pass licensure examinations, the percent of students accepted to graduate programs, the percent in post-graduation employment in the field or a related field. (750 characters or less)

# **DEAN SECTION (Due to the program on January 15)**

After completing the Dean Section and signing it, the dean should email this form to the program, and copy <u>uaa oaa@alaska.edu</u> for posting. If the program is delivered on one or more community campus, the dean should consult with the appropriate community campus director(s) on the response and copy the appropriate community campus director(s) when emailing the response to the program.

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 7 of 8



1. Based on the program's responses above, what guidance and support do you have for the program moving forward? (750 characters or less)

The Graduate Certificate in Project Management is new this year, so the fact that a separate report was not submitted for this program is fine, but the Department is asked to submit separate reports for both programs next year. We acknowledge the plans for continuous improvement to the MSPM on the basis of assessment results, and support these actions.

2. What is the program doing particularly well in terms of its processes for the assessment and improvement of student learning, for example, the achievement of the Program Student Learning Outcomes, the closing of equity gaps, or addressing the core competencies? (750 characters or less) The program is commended for continuing to grow its enrollments, and was the only CoEng program to experience growth in Fall 2022. The program is also commended for its especially active Advisory Board, which is evidence of its particular commitment to close contact with its constituencies.

Dean's signature: Date: 1/23/2023

Revised 8-10-2022 Page 8 of 8